# THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE JEWISH (ARAMAIC) CALENDAR 

 TO THEEGYPTIAN (PTOLEMAIC) CALENDAR DURING THE PERSIAN PERIOD AS SHOWN BY THE ASSUAN PAPYRI
by

## Lynn H. Wood

A paper presented before the Bible and History Teachers Council August 23, 1940 Takoma Fark, D. C.

## THE ASSUAN PAPYRI

The Assuan papyri were discovered about the turn of the century and were first published by Sayce and Cowley in 1906. Assuan is located on the Nile just below the first cataract, some 120 miles south of the ancient capital of Thebes. At the time of the 5 th century B. C. when these documents were written, the site was called Syene. At this place a colony of Jews had settled, evidently going down into Egypt either from Babylon or from Palestine during the period of the restoration. These documents cover a large portion of the 5 th century B. C., being dated from the 15 th year of Xerxes ( 471 B.C.) to the 14 th year of Darius II ( 410 B.C.). Most of these papyri are perfectly legible and relate to conveyances of land and buildings, marriage contracts, and various legal processes between members of this Jewish colony. They are drawn with great care, being signed and properly witnessed and many of them still sealed at the time of discovery. At least eight of them are dated according to both the Hebrew and Egyptian calendars. Some of them are more specific than others giving special regnal years according to the calendar in which the da te is given.

In the various charts illustrating these papyri which are double dated, synchronisms have been carefully establishod between (1) tho Jewish calendar (civil year) beginning with the lst of the 7 th month (Tisri) and containing 12 or 13 lunar months according to the 19-yoar cycle, (2) the Julian calendar which is the one used by all astronomers and chronologers working in pre-Christian dates, (3) the Egyptian or Ptolemaic Calendar, recording the years of the Nabonassar Era (Marked N. E. on the charts), beginning with the lst of Thoth each year, (4) the Greek calendar based on the Olympiads, beginning each year a few days after the summer solstice, (5) the Persian calendar beginning with the lst of Nisan in the spring -- a luni-solar calendar the same as the Jewish ritualistic year --., and (6) the Saros tablet.

This Saros tablet was found in the British Museum in 1884 and first published in the Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, (See Strassmaier, Zeitschrift fur Assyriologio, Vol. VII, [1892], 199 ff . Vol. VIII [1893], 106 ff.$)$, giving a list of kings ruling at the 18 -year intervals of the saros period together with the year of their reigns corresponding to the first year of the period. The word "saros" refers to a Babylonian lunar cycle of 223 lunations or 6585.32 days. It is an eclipse cycle at the end of which the centers of the sun and moon return to such a relative position that the eclipses, both solar, and lunar, of the next 18 years can be predicted with astonishing accuracy. In each of these saros periods, there are about 29 lunar eclipses and 41 solar eclipsos. Because of the slight difference in the relative position of the sun and moon, these returning eclipses in the following saros period appear in longitudes approximately one-third of the distance around the world west of the regions where they were first noted.

Insofar as the tablet goes, these periods begin with the 7th year of Nabonidus. All this tablet proports to do is to tell in what year of the reigning monarch the first yoar of the saros occurs. Thus:


The number on the tablet just before the king's name is yoar 1 of the 18 -yoar saros period. Thus year 7 of the reign of Nabonidus corresponds with yoar 1 of this saros period or 18-year cycle. The yoar 8 of the reign of Cyrus then corresponds with year 1 of the next saros poriod. The following table is a translation of the first portion of this saros tablet covering the years from Nabonidus through the 36 th year of Artoxerxes. That which is enclosed in parentheses has been restored by Strassmaior, for that portion of the
tablet was broken. From other sources, however, it is easy to complete accurately the missing portions.

Portion of the Saros 18 year Cycle Tablet Covering the Fifth Century ${ }^{1}$

| 7 | Nabonidus | (18) |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| (8) | Cyrus | 18 |
| 9 | Darius | 18 |
| 27 | Darius | 18 |
| 9 | Xerxes | 18 |
| 6 | Artaxerxes | 18 |
| (24. | Artaxerx)es | $(18)$ |
| $(1$ | Darius II | $18)$ |
| 19 | Darius II | 18 |
| 18 | Artaxerxes II | 18 |
| 36 | Artaxerxes II | 18 |

This Saros table, therefore, becomes an excellent means of checking the reigns of the Persian period from an astronomical viewpoint. For all of these Persian kings, contract tablets have been found dated in a year called the "accession year", which is evidently a yoar distinct from the first yoar of the king's reign. For example, the latest tablet for Nabonidus, is dated in the 17 th year and the 9th month. ${ }^{2}$ This harmonizes with the length of reign given Nabonidus by Ptolemy in his Canon. If now the "accession year" of Cyrus was a year different from either the 17th year of Nabonidus or the lst year of Cyrus' reign, the 18 -year saros period would reach only to the 7th year of Cyrus and not the 8th as required by the Saros tablet, thus:

| Nabonidus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year of Reign | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | acc. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 516 | 7 |  |
| Year of Saros | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $]_{4}$ | 15 | 16 | 78 | 1 | 2 |

However, if the "death year" of Nabonidus and the "accession year" of Cyrus are counted as one and the same year, the first year of Cyrus' reign beginning with the now calondar year, then the record of the saros tablet becomes exact, thus:
${ }^{1}$ Arno Poebel, (Republished and discussed) A J S L, LVI (1939), 121-146.
2 Strassmaier, Zeitschrift fur Assyriologio, Vol. IV, Nabonidus Tablet No. 1055.

Nabonidus

| Year of Reign | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $1{ }_{4}$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ar of aros | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |

This may be chocked with all the kings nemed on the list and found to work in exactly the same manner, thus dofinitely proving that in the Babylonian-Persian chronology, the death year of the king is counted as the last yoar of his reign and is also counted as the accession year of the following king, thus:


The charts as shown on pages 6-13 of this paper are only excerpts from a long chart tracing the calondars in their synchronisms year by year from the days of Nabonidus through the year 400 B. C. They explain themselves.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Papy- } \\ \text { rus } \end{gathered}$ | A. E. Cowley |  |  |  | E. B. Knobel |  |  |  | J. K. Fotheringham |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jew. Date | Eg. Date | Reign | Yr . | Jew. Date | Eg. Date | Reign | Yr. | Jew. Date | Eg. Date | Reign | Yr . |
| A | 18 Elul | 28 Pachons | 15 Xerxes | 471 | 18 Elul | 28 Pachons | 15th of Xerxes | 471 | 17, (18) | $27,(28)$ Pachons | $14,(15)$ Xerxes | 471 |
| B | 18 Chisleu | 7 Thoth | 21; Begin. of Artax. | 465 | 18 Chisleu | 6 Thoth | 1st of Artax. | 464 | 18 Chisl eu | $6,(7)(8) ?$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,(21) \\ \text { Xerxes } \end{gathered}$ | 464 |
| D | 21 Chisleu | 1 Mesore | 6th of Artax. | 459 | Cann | ot be harmo | pnized |  | 21 Chisleu | 1 Mesore | $6,(5)$ <br> Artax. | 460 |
| E | 3 Chisleu | 10 Mesore | 19th of Artax. | 447 | 3 Chislev | 10 Mesore | 19th of Artax. | 446 | 3 Chisleu | 10 Mesore | 19th of Artax. | 44,6 |
| F | 14 Ab | 19 Pachons | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \text { th of } \\ & \text { Artax. } \end{aligned}$ | 441 | 14 Ab | 19 Pachons | 25th of Artax. | 440 | $\operatorname{lin}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(14)$ | 19 Prachons | 25 th of Artax. | 440 |
| G | 25 Tishri | 6 Epiphi | --..- | 441 |  | Suggest ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | Year | 4,6 | 26 Tishri | 6 Epiphi | -...- | 446 |
| H | Elul | Payni | 4 th of Darius | 420 |  | Suggest $\$$ | Year | 420 |  | Suggests | year | 420 |
| J | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { Chisleu } \\ & \text { Yr. } 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { Thoth } \\ \mathrm{Yr} .9 \end{gathered}$ | 8, 9th of Darius | 416 | 3 Chisleu | 12 Thoth | 8 th of Darius | 416 | 3 Chisleu | $\begin{aligned} & 11,(12) \\ & \text { Thoth } \end{aligned}$ | 7, (8)(9) | 416 |
| K | $\begin{array}{\|r\|r\|} 24 & \text { Shebat } \\ \text { Yr. } 13 \end{array}$ | 9 Athyr $\mathrm{Yr} .14$ | 13, 14 of Darius | 410 | 24 Shebat | 9 Athyr | 4 th of Darius | 410 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 23, (24) } \\ \text { Shebat } \end{gathered}$ | 8, (9) <br> L.thyr | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13, (14) } \\ \text { Darius } \end{gathered}$ | 410 |
|  | A. E. Cowley <br> Aramaic Papyri of the <br> 5th Century, B. C. <br> oxford Clarendon Press (1923) |  |  |  | E. B. Knobel <br> "Suggested Explanation of the Ancient Jewish Calendar Dates on the Aramaic Papyri." <br> Monthly Notices R.A.S. LXVIII, (1908) <br> London R.A.S. (1908) <br> pp. 334 - 345 . |  |  |  | J. K. Fotheringham <br> "Calendar Dates in the Aramaic <br> Papyri from Assuan." <br> Monthly Notices R.A.S., LXIX (1908) <br> London, R.A.S., (1909) <br> pp. 12 - 20 . |  |  |  |




This papyrus ${ }^{3}$, coming from Elephantine close to Assuan, is also double dated, from the same period of Persian history. It is dated "In the Fth of Chisleu which is the 4th day of the month Thoth in the 9 th year of Artaxerxes." This contract, as is shown by the date, comes from the same season of the year as both " J " and " K " yet it gives the year in terms of the Egyptian calendar only, plainly toking it for granted that the parties concerned will know that at this time of the year the "9th year of Artaxerxes" in terms of the Egyptian calendar was the "8th year of Artaxerxes" according to the Jewish calendar, it only being necessary to state the day of the month according to each system, to make the contract plain and legal.

3 Arthur Ungnad, Aramaische Papyrus
J. C. Hinrichs, (1911), Elephantine, Leipzig:






These papyri extending over more than 60 years during the days of the Persian period constitute the best archaeological evidence possible for determining the accurate B. C. date for the 7 th year of Artaxerxes. Papyrus " B " shows dofinitely that according to the Jewish reckoning the lst yoar of Artaxerxos begen October 8, 464. The following table shows the dates for the beginning of tho succooding yoars.

Yoar of
Artaxorzos
Julian date B.C. for beginning
of the civil Now Yoar Sunsot Jorusalom

| 1 | Ootobor 8, 4,64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Soptomiver 21,463 |
| 3 | Octobor 16, 1,62 |
| 4 | Octobor it, 461 |
| 5 | Soptombor 23, 460 |
| 6 | October 12. 459 |
| 7 | Octobor 2,458 |
| 8 | Soptembor 20, 457 |

For the readors convonionce tables showing tho list of kings in Ptolomy's Canon (p. 15) and rognal synchronisms running from 626 B.C. to 515 B. C. (p. 16) are attachod herewith.

TABLE OF SYNCHRONISISS BETVEEN THE CALENDARS
OF THE
EGYPTIANS, BABYLONIANS, PERSILNS AND JEWS
DURING THE NEO-BLBYLONIAN AND PERSIAN PERIODS

| Total <br> Length of <br> Reign | King's Name | Regnal <br> Year 1 <br> Coñon <br> Year | Inclusive Dates in Julian Time as Given by the Ptolemaic Canon | Death Year = Accession Year Jewish (Fall-fall) | First Year Jewish (Fall-fall) | First Year Bab.-Per. (Spring Spring) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | Nabopolassar | 123 | January 27, 625 <br> Jenuary 20,604 | 626-625 | 625-624 | 625-624 |
| 43 | Nebuchadnezzar | 144 | Jonuary 21, 604 Januery 10, 561 | 605-604 | 604-603 | 604-603 |
| 2 | Annel Marduk | 187 | January 11, 561 January 9, 559 | 562-561 | 561-560 | 561-560 |
| 4 | Nergal Sarusur | 189 | Jonuary 10, 559 January 8, 555 | 560-559 | 559-558 | 559-558 |
| 17 | Nabonidus (Belshazzar) | 193 | January 9, 555 <br> Jonuary 4, 538 | 556-555 | 555-554 | 555-554 |
| 9 | Cyrus <br> (Darius the Mede) | 210 | January 5, 538 Jonuary 2, 529 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dar. the Med } \\ & 539-538 \end{aligned}$ | 538-537 | 538-537 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cyrus } \\ & 537-536 \end{aligned}$ | 536-535 |  |
| 8 | Cambyses | 219 | January 3, 529 <br> December 31, 522 | 530-529 | 529-528 | 529-528 |
| 36 | Darius I | 227 | January 1, 521 <br> December 22, 486 | 522-521 | 521-520 | 521-520 |
| 21 | Xerxes | 263 | December 23, 486 <br> December 16, 465 | 486-485 | 485-484 | 485-484 |
| 41 | Artaxerxes | 284 | December 17, 465 <br> December 6, 424 | $465-464$ | $464-463$ | 464-463 |
| 19 | Darius II | 325 | December 7, 424 <br> December 1, 405 | 424-423 | 423-422 | 423-422 |
| 46 | Artaxerxes II | $3 W_{4}$ | December 2, 405 <br> November 20, 359 | $405-404$ | $404-403$ | 404-403 |
| 21 | Ochos or Artexerxes III | 390 | November 21, 359 <br> November 15, 338 | 359-358 | 358-357 | 358 - 357 |
| 2 | Arses | 411 | November 16,338 <br> November 14, 336 | 338-337 | 337-336 | 337-336 |
| 4 | Darius III | 413 | November 15, 336 <br> November 13, 332 | 336-335 | 335-334 | 335-334 |
| 8 | Al exander | 427 | Nov ember 14, 332 November 11, 324 | 332-331 | 331-330 | 331-330 |

No account is taken by the Canon of Ptolemy of kings reigning less than a year. Their dates must be figured in such a way as not to disturb the balence preserved between other reigns as verified by the "saros tablet."

## CHATT G

?REGNAL SYINCHRONISIAS
of the
JULIAN, PTOLEHAIC, AND JEVISH CALENDARS


| 612 | 611 | 610 | 609 | 608 | 607 |  | 606 | 65 | 604 |  | 603 | Julian | 601 | 600 |  | 599 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | N.E. |  | 19 | 20 | 21 |  | 1 | 2 |  | chadn |  | 5 | 6 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | Jewish | 18 |  | 19 | 20 | 21 | A | 1 | Nebuchadnezzar |  |  |  | 5 |
| 28 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | A | 1 | 2 |  | Jehol | 1 m | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 |



| 584 | 583 | 582 | 581 | 580 | Julian | 578 | 577 | 576 | 575 | 574 | 573 | 572 | 571 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 22 | N.E. | 24 | 25 | 28 | Nebychadnezzar | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 |  |  |
| 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | Jewish | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | Nebuchadnezzar | 33 |  |  |



| 556 | 555 | 554 | 553 | 552 | 551 | 550 | 549 | Julian | 547 | 546 | 545 | 544 | 543 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | N.E. | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Nabonidus |  | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 3 | 4 A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Jewish | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 Bel shazzar |  | 11 | 2 |



THE JEWISH CALENDAR IN THE FIFTH CENTURY B. C.

## Introductory Note:

The papyri documents under consideration came from a Jewish colony established at Elephantine near the Nubian frontier under the protection of a Persian garrison. As early as 1878 , it was recognized that the Aremaic papyri coming from Egypt pertained to the Persian administration in the age of Eara and Nehemiah. Some of these papyri were found rolled up, tied and sealed. For nearly 2500 years, these seals had remained unbroken. Of additional interest is the fact that these texts were written by Jews, and, outside of the Bible, are among the earliest Jewish writings. In the words of the translator Mr . Cowley, "they present therefore a trustworthy picture of their surroundings, not distorted by lapse of time, nor obscured by textual corruption." ("Aramaic Papyri in the Fifth Century B. C.," Preface, p. xiv). Oxford, 1923.)

The confusion between modern Jewish computation and early Jewish reckoning, led the Greek author, M. L. Belleli, to doubt the authenticity of the Elephantine papyri, concerning which M. M. Sayce and A. E. Cowley made their report in 1900. After examining the double Semitic dates in these valuable documents, and finding them not in agreement with the modern Jewish calendar, Mr . Belleli summarily concluded that they were not authentic, completely overlooking the fact that in the 5 th century B. C., modern Jewish computation had not yet been devised. The unsoundness of this opinion and conclusion has been ably refuted by various authors; furthermore, the futility of applying the principles of modern Jewish calendation to the Aramaic dates has been shown by Dr. Fotheringham in his criticism of E. B. Knobel's date argument ("Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society," Vol. LXIX, p. 12, ff. London, 1909).

Many attempts have been made by chronologers to reconstruct synthetically, an ancient method of Jewish calendation. The fact that modern Rabbinical computation does not agree with early Jewish dates is generally recog-
nized; but, even though this is often stressed, yet, the simple Mosaic principles that governed early Jewish time are almost completely overlooked. An important feature of the ancient history written in the various papyri, about which there is no doubt, relates to an order from the Persian king, Darius II, to keep the passover.

The command concerning the Passover was given in few words: "In the month of Tybi (?) let there be a Passover for the dewish garrison" ("Aramaic Papyri in the Fifth Century B. C.," p. 60). The date is the 5th year of Darius. Although the papyrus is imperfect, and somewhat broken, yet enough remains to show that it gives instructions to keep the festival of unleavened bread. The edict continues: "Now you accordingly count fourteen days of the month Nisan, and keep the Passover, and from the 15th day to the 21 st day of Nisan (are) seven days of Unleavened bread. Be clean and take heed. Do no work on the 15 th day, and on the 21 st day. Also drink no beer, and anything at all in which there is leaven do not eat, from the 15 th day from sunset till the 2lst day of Nisan, seven days, let it not be seen among you; do not bring (it) into your dwellings, but seal (it) up during these days. Let this be done as Darius the king commanded. (Address) To my brethren Yedoniah and his colleagues the Jewish garrison, your brother Hananiah" ("Idem," p. 63). Cowley's comment on this passover edict (Papyrus "No. 6" of Ungnad, and "Plate 6" of Sachau) is that it "removes all reason for doubting the genuineness of the Persian letters 【by Artaxerxes) in Ezra" ("Idem," p. 62).

The papyri themselves, therefore, show that the members of the Jewish garrison in Elephantine and Assuan were fully e.cquainted with the Mosaic passover regulations that commanded this feast to be kept at sunset (Deut. $16: 6$ ) on the 14 th of Nisan (Ex. 12:6). Consequently, it is fully in harmony with the circumstances forming the background of the Aramaic dates to offer a method of interpretation that is based on passover observance. The calendric outline (page 2i) pertaining to the Aramaic or Jewish dates, has already been applied to the crucifixion date problem. In this calendar problem, it
is employed in a specific form as representative of Mosaic calendation.
The Egyptian calendar made use of in this solution is the same as has been standardized for Egyptian time, with the exception, that in harmony with Ptolemy's reckoning of intervals. and eclipses, Oppolzer's "Canon," and the testimony of Censorinus, the Era of Nabonassar is made to begin on February 27 instead of February 26.

OUTLINE OF DISCUSSION

1. Introductory Note ..... pp. i,ii,iii
2. Egyptian New Year Table ..... pp. 1,2
Jewish Passover Table ..... p. 3
3. Tables I, II, and III -- Analogueof Ancient Dates and Eclipsesp. 4
4. Cycle Table (IV) in time of Ezra and Nehemiah ..... p. 5
5. Papyrus References ..... pp. 6-8
6. Eclipse References ..... pp. 9,10
7. Discussion of Problem ..... pp. 11-19
8. Conclusion ..... pp. 20,21
9. Nabonassar EraLeap Year Table (V)p. $5^{-a}$

EGYPZIAN NEW YEAR (1 THOTH) TABLE AND ITS JULIAN EQUIVALENT DATE (NOON TO NOON, ASTRONOMICAL TIME -- FROM 1356 B. C. TO 238 A. D.)*

| B.C. 1 | Thoth | B.C. 1 Thoth | B.C. 11 | Thoth | B.C. 1 Thoth | B.C. 1 Thoth | B.C. 1 Thoth | B.C. 1 Thoth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 824 |  | 748-Feb 27 | 672 |  | 596 | 520 | 444 |  |
| 823 |  | 747 Nabonassar | 671 |  | 595 | 519 | 444 443 | 368 |
| 822 |  | 746 Era | 670 |  | 594 | 518 | 443 442 | 367 366 |
| $821-\mathrm{Mar}$ | 16 | $745-F e b \quad 26$ | 669-Feb | 7 | 593-Jan 19 | 518 - 17 ec 31 | 442 $441-$ dec 12 | 366 $365-N o v 23$ |
| 820 |  | 744 | 668 |  | 59\%-Jan 19 592 | $517-0 \mathrm{ec} 31$ 516 | $441-\mathrm{Dec} 12$ 440 Papyrus "F" | $365-N O V 23$ 364 |
| 819 |  | 743 | 667 |  | 591 | 516 | 440 Papyrus "F" | 364 |
| 818 |  | 742 | 666 |  | 590 | 514 | 439 Papyrus "G" | 363 362 |
| 817-Mar | 25 | $741-$ Feb 25 | $665-F e b$ | 6 | 589-Jan 18 | 513-Dec 30 | 438 $437-$ dec 11 | 361-Nov 22 |
| 816 |  | 740 | 664 |  | 588 | 512 | 436 | 360 -Nov 22 |
| 815 |  | 739 | 663 |  | 587 | 511 | 435 | 359 |
| 814 |  | 738 | 662 |  | 586 | 510 | 434 | 358 |
| 813-Mar | 14 | 737-Feb 24 | $661-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 5 | 585-Jan 17 | 509-Dec 29 | $433-$ ec 10 | 357 -Nov 21 |
| 812 |  | 736 | 660 |  | 584 | 508 | 432 | 356 |
| 811 |  | 735 | 659 |  | 583 | 507 | 431 | 355 |
| 810 |  | 734 | 558 |  | 582 | 506 | 430 | 354 |
| 809-Mar | 13 | $733-$ Feb 23 | $657-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 4 | 581 -dan 16 | 505-Dec 28 | 429-Dec 9 | 353 -Nov 20 |
| 808 |  | 732 | 656 |  | 530 | 504 | 428 | 353 -Nov 20 352 |
| 807 |  | 731 | 655 |  | 579 | 503 | 427 | 351 |
| 806 |  | 730 | 654 |  | 578 | 502 | 426 | 350 |
| $805-\mathrm{Mar}$ | 12 | $729-F$ eb 22 | $653-$ Feb | 3 | 577-Jan 15 | 501-Dec 27 | 425-Dec 8 | 349-Nov 19 |
| 804 |  | 728 | 652 |  | 576 | 500 | 424 | 348 |
| 803 |  | 727 | 651 |  | 575 | 499 | 423 | 347 |
| 802 |  | 726 | 650 |  | 574 | 498 | 422 | 346 |
| $801-\mathrm{Nar}$ | 11 | $725-$ Feb 21 | 649-Feb | 2 | 573-Jan 14 | 497-0ec 26 | 421-Dec 7 | 345 -Nov 18 |
| 800 |  | 724 | 648 |  | 572 | 496 | 420 Papyrus "H* | 344 |
| 799 |  | 723 | 647 |  | 571 | 495 | 419 | 343 |
| 798 |  | 722 | 646 |  | 570 | 494 | 418 | 342 |
| 797-Mar | 10 | $721-F e b 20$ | $645-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 1 | 569-Jan 13 | 493-Dec 25 | 417-0ec 6 | 341-Nov 17 |
| 796 |  | 720 | 644 |  | 568 | 492 | 416 Papyrus "ل" | 340 |
| 795 |  | 719 | 643 |  | 567 | 491 | 415 | 339 |
| 794 |  | 718 | 642 |  | 566 | 490 | 414 | 338 |
| $793-\mathrm{Mar}$ | 9 | $717-\mathrm{Feb} 19$ | 641-Jan | 31 | 565 -Jan 12 | 489-Dec 24 | 413-Dec 5 | 337 -NoV 16 |
| 792 |  | 716 | 540 |  | 564 | 488 | 412 | 336 |
| 791 |  | 715 | 639 |  | 563 | 487 | 411 | 335 |
| 790 |  | 714 | 638 |  | 562 | 486 | 410 Papyrus "K" | 334 |
| 789-Mar | 8 | $713-F \mathrm{eb} 18$ | 637-Jan | 30 | 561-Jan 11 | $485-0 \mathrm{ec} 23$ | 409 -Dec 4 | $333-N$ ov 15 |
| 788 |  | 712 | 636 |  | 560 | 484 | 408 | $332$ |
| 787 |  | 711 | 635 |  | 559 | 483 | 407 | 331 |
| 786 |  | 710 | 634 |  | 558 | 482 | 406 | 330 |
| 785-Mar | 7 | $709-F \mathrm{eb} 17$ | 633-Jan | 29 | 557-Jan 10 | 481-Dec 22 | 405-Dec 3 | $329-N$ ov 14 |
| 784 |  | 708 | 632 |  | 556 | 480 | 404 | 328 |
| 783 |  | 707 | 631 |  | 555 | 479 | 403 | 327 |
| 782 |  | 706 | 630 |  | 554 | 478 | 402 | 326 |
| $781-\mathrm{Mar}$ | 6 | 705 -Feb 16 | 629-Jan | 28 | 553-Jan 9 | 477-Dec 21 | $401-\mathrm{Dec} 2$ | 325 -Nov 13 |
| 780 |  | 704 | 628 |  | 552 | 476 | 400 | 324 |
| 779 |  | 703 | 627 |  | 551 | 475 | 399 | 323 |
| 778 |  | 702 | 626 |  | 550 | 474 | 398 | 322 |
| 777 -Mar | 5 | $701-F e b 15$ | 625-Jan | 27 | 549-dan 8 | 473-Dec 20 | 397-Dec 1 | 321-Nov 12 |
| 776 |  | 700 | 624 |  | 548 | 472 | 396 | 320 |
| 775 |  | 699 | 623 |  | 547 | 471 Papyrus "A." | 395 | 319 |
| 774 |  | 698 | 622 |  | 546 | 470 | 394 | 318 |
| $773-\mathrm{Mar}$ | 4 | $697-\mathrm{Feb} \quad 14$ | 621-Jan | 26 | 545-Jan 7 | 469-Dec 19 | $393-N$ ov 30 | 317 -Nov 11 |
| 772 |  | 696 | 620 |  | 544 | 468 | 392 | 316 |
| 771 |  | 695 | 619 |  | 543 | 467 | 391 | 315 |
| 770 |  | 694 | 618 |  | 542 | 466 | 390 | 314 |
| 769-Mar | 3 | 693-Feb 13 | 617-Jan | 25 | 541-Jan 6 | 465-Dec 18 | $389-$ Nov 29 | 323 -Nov 10 |
| 768 |  | 692 | 616 |  | 540 | 464 Papyrus "8" | 388 | 312 |
| 767 |  | 691 | 615 |  | 539 | 463 | 387 | 311 |
| 706 |  | 690 | 614 |  | 538 | 462 | 386 | 310 |
| 76́5-Mar | 2 | 689-Feb 12 | 613-Jan | 24 | 537-Jan 5 | $461-\mathrm{Dec} 17$ | $385-N o v 28$ | $309-N$ ov 9 |
| 764 |  | 688 | 612 |  | 536 | 460 Papyrus "0" | 384 | 308 |
| 763 |  | 687 | 611 |  | 535 | 459 | 383 | 307 |
| 762 |  | 686 | 610 |  | 534 | 458 | 382 | 306 |
| 761 -Mar | 1 | $685-\mathrm{Feb} 11$ | 609-Jan | 23 | 533-Jan 4 | 457-Dec 16 | 381-Nov 27 | 305 -Nov 8 |
| 760 |  | 684 | 608 |  | 532 | 456 | 380 | 304 |
| 759 |  | 683 | 607 |  | 531 | 455 | 379 | 303 |
| 758 |  | 682 | 606 |  | 530 | 454 | 378 | 302 |
| $757-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 29 | $681-\mathrm{Feb} 10$ | 60.5 -Jan | 22 | 529-Jan 3 | 453-Dec 15 | 377 -Nov 26 | $301 \text {-Nov } 7$ |
| 756 |  | 680 | 604 |  | 528 | $452$ | $376$ | $300$ |
| 755 |  | 679 | 603 |  | 527 | 451 Papyrus | 375 | 299 |
| 754 |  | 678 | 602 |  | 526 | 450 Ungnad "30" | 374 | 298 V 6 |
| $753-F \mathrm{eb}$ | 28 | 677 -Feb 9 | 601-Jan | 21 | 525 -Jan 2 | 449-Dec 14 | $373-N 0 v 25$ | $297 \text {-Nov } 6$ |
| 752 |  | 676 | 600 |  | 524 | 448 Papyrus nen | 372 | $296$ |
| 751 |  | 675 | 599 |  | 523 Cambyse | 447 Papyrus "E" | 371 | 295 |
| 750 |  | 674 | 598 |  | 522 Tablet | 446 | 370 | 294 |
| 749-Feb | 27 | 673-Feb 8 | 597-Jan | 20 | 521-Jan 1 | $445-$ - C 13 | 369-Hov 24 | 293-Nov 5 |

[^0]EGYPTIAN NEW YEAR (1 THOTH) TABLE AND ITS JULIAN ECUIVALENT DATE (NOON TO NOON, ASTRONOMICAL TIME -- FROM 1356 B.C. TO 238 A.D.)*

| B.C. 1 Thoth | B.C. 1 Thoth | B.C. 1 Thoth | B. C. 1 Thoth | A.D. 1 Thoth | A.D. 1 Thoth | A.D. 1 Thoth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 292 | 216 | 140 | 64 | 13 | 89 | 165 |
| 291 | 215 | 139 | 63 | 14 | 90 | 166 |
| 290 | 214 | 138 | 62 | 15 | 91 | 167 |
| 289-Nov 4 | $213-0 \mathrm{ct} 16$ | 137-Sep 27 | 61-Sep 8 | $16-A$ ug 20 | 92-Aug 1 | 168-Jul 13 |
| 288 | 212 | 136 | 60 | 17 | 93 | 169 |
| 287 | 211 | 135 | 59 | 18 | 94 | 170 |
| 286 | 210 | 234 | 58 | 19 | 95 | 171 |
| 285 -Nov 3 | 209-0ct 15 | 133-Sep 26 | 57-Sep 7 | 20-Aug 19 | 96-Jul 31 | $172-\mathrm{Jul} 12$ |
| 284 | 208 | 132 | 56 | 21. | 97 | 173 |
| 283 | 207 | 131 | 55 | 22 | 98 | 174 |
| 282 | 206 | 130 | 54 | 23 | 99 | 175 |
| 281-Nov 2 | $205-0 \operatorname{ct~} 14$ | 129-Sep 25 | 53-Sep 6 | 24-Aug 18 | 100-Jul 30 | 176-Jul 11 |
| 280 | 204 | 128 | 52 | 25 | 101 | 177 |
| 279 | 203 | 127 | 51 | 26 | 102 | 178 |
| 278 | 202 | 126 | 50 | 27 | 103 | 179 |
| 277-Nov 1 | 201-0ct 13 | 125-Sep 24 | 49-Sep 5 | 28-Aug 17 | 104-Jul 29 | 180-Jul 10 |
| 276 | 200 | 124 | 48 | 29 | 105 | $181$ |
| 275 | 199 Rosetta | 123 | 47 | 30 | 106 | 182 |
| 274 | 198 Stone | 122 | 46 | 31 | 107 (10 | 183 |
| 273-0ct 31 | $197-0 \mathrm{ct} 12$ | 121-Sep 23 | 45-Sep 4 | $32-A u g 16$ | 108-Jul 28 | 184-Jul 9 |
| 272 | 196 | 120 | 44 | 33 | 109 | 185 |
| 271 | 195 | 119 | 43 | 34 | 110 | 186 |
| 270 | 194 | 118 | 42 | 35 | 111 | 287 |
| 269-0ct 30 | 193-0ct 11 | 117-Sep 22 | 41-Sep 3 | $36-A u g 15$ | 112-Jul 27 | 188-Jul 8 |
| 268 | 192 | 116 | 40 | 37 | 113 | $189$ |
| 267 | 191 | 115 | 39 | 38 | 114 | 190 |
| 266 | 190 | 114 | 38 | 39-Aug 14 | 115 $116-$-Jul 26 | 191 192 -Jul ? |
| 265-0ct 29 | 189-0ct 10 | 113-Sep 21 | 37-Sep 2 | 40-Aug 14 | 116-Jul 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 192-\mathrm{JuI} 7 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ |
| 264 | 188 | 112 | 36 | 41 | 117 | $\begin{aligned} & 193 \\ & 194 \end{aligned}$ |
| 263 262 | 187 186 | 111 | 35 34 | 42 | 118 | 194 |
| 262-0ct 28 | 186-0ct 9 | 1109-Sep 20 | 33-Sep 1 | $44-$ Aug 13 | 120-Jul 25 | 196-Jul 6 |
| 260 | 184 | 108 | 32 | 45 | 221 | 197 |
| 259 | 183 | 107 | 31 | 46 | 122 | 198 |
| 258 | 182 | 106 | 30 | 47 | 123 | 199 - 5 |
| 257-0ct 27 | $181-0 \mathrm{ct} 8$ | 105-Sep 19 | 29-Aug 31 | 48-Aug 12 | 124 -Jul 24 | 200-Jul 5 |
| 256 | 180 | 104 | 28 | 49 | 125 | 201 |
| 255 | 179 | 103 | 27 26 | 50 | 127 | 203 |
| 254 $253-0 \mathrm{ct} 26$ | 178 | 102 101 -sep 18 | 26-Aug 30 | 52-Aug 11 | 128-Jul 23 | 204-Jul 4 |
| $253-0 c t 26$ 252 | $177-0 \mathrm{ct} 7$ 176 | 101-5ep 18 | ${ }_{24}^{25-A u g ~} 30$ | 53 | 129 | 205 |
| 252 251 | 176 175 | 199 | 23 | 54 | 130 | 206 |
| 250 | 174 | 98 | 22 | 55 | 131 | 207 208 -Jul 3 |
| 249-0ct 25 | 173-0ct 6 | 97-Sep 17 | 21-Aug 29 | $56-A$ ug 10 | 132-Jul 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 208-j u l 3 \\ & 209 \end{aligned}$ |
| 248 | 172 | 96 | 20 | 57 58 | 134 | 210 |
| 247 | 171 | 95 | 18 | 59 | 135 | 211 |
| 246 $245-0 c t 24$ | 170 | 94 93-Sep 16 | ${ }^{18} 17$ Aug 28 | 60-Aug 9 | 136-Jul 21 | 212-Jul 2 |
| $245-0 c t 24$ 244 | 169-0ct 5 | $93-$ Sep 16 92 | - 16 | 61 | 137 | 213 |
| 244 243 | 168 | 91 | 15 | 62 | 138 End of | 214 |
| 243 242 | 167 | 90 | 14 | 63 | 139 Sothic Cycle | - 215 |
| 242-0ct 23 | $165-0$ ct 4 | 89-Sep 15 | 13-Aug 27 | 64-Aug 8 | 140-Ju! 20 | 216-Jul 1 |
| 240 | 164 | 88 | 12 | 65 | 241 | 217 |
| 239 | 163 | 87 | 11 | 66 | 142 | 219 |
| 238 | 162 | 86 | 10 9-A ug 26 | 68-Aug 7 | 244 -Jul 19 | 220-Jun 30 |
| $237-0 \mathrm{ct} 22$ | $161-0 c t 3$ | $85-S e p ~$ 84 | ${ }_{8}^{\text {9-Aug }} 26$ | 68 -Aug 69 | 145 | 222 |
| 236 | 160 | 84 | 7 | 70 | 146 | 222 |
| 235 | 159 | 83 | 6 | 71 | 147 | 223 |
| 234 | 158 | 82 -5ep 13 | 5-Aug 25 | 72-Aug 6 | 148-Jul 18 | 224-Jun 29 |
| 233-0ct 21 | $257-0 \mathrm{ct} 2$ | 81 -5ep 13 | ${ }_{4}{ }^{\text {-Aug } 25}$ | 73 | 149 | 225 |
| 232 | 156 | 79 | 3 | 74 | 250 | 226 |
| 231 | 155 154 | 78 | 2 | 75 | 151 | 227 - |
| 230 | 154 $153-0 \mathrm{ct} 1$ | 78-5ep 12 | 1-Aug 24 | 76-Aug 5 | 152-Jul 17 | 228-Jun 28 |
| 229-0ct 20 | $153-0 \mathrm{ct} 1$ 152 | 76 7-sep 12 | $1{ }_{1}$ | 77 | 153 | 229 |
| 228 227 | 151 | 75 | 2 | 78 | 154 | 230 |
| 226 | 150 | 74 | 3 | 79 $80-A u g ~$ | 155 $156-J u l 16$ | 232-Jun 27 |
| 225-0ct 19 | 149-Sep 30 | 73-5ep 11 | 4 -Aug 23 | 818 - 81 | 257 | 233 |
| 224 | 148 | 72 | 5 | 82 | 158 | 234 |
| 223 | 147 | 71 | 7 | 83 | 159 | 235 |
| 222 | 146 | 70-sep 10 | 8-Aug 22 | $84-A$ ug 3 | 260-Jul 15 | 236-Jun 26 |
| 221-0ct 18 | 145-Sep 29 | 69-sep 10 | $8-A{ }^{\text {8- }} 22$ | 85 | 161 | 237 |
| 220 | 144 | 68 | 9 10 | 85 86 | 162 | 238 Cens or |
| 219 | 243 | 67 | 11 | 87 | 163 | 239 |
| 218 | 142 | 66 | 11-Aug 21 | 88 -Aug 2 | 164-Jul 14 | 240-Jun 25 |
| 217-0ct 17 | 141-Sep 28 | 65-Sep 9 | 12-Aug 21 | 88-Aug |  |  |

PASSOVER METHOD FOR DETERMINING JULIAN EQUIVALENT OF ARAMAIC DA? (3)


[^1]Ancient Egyptian Monument Dates, Based on 365-Day Year ANALOGUE OF ANCIENT EGYPTIAN, JEWISH, AND MACEDONIAN DATES Ptolemy's "Mathematical Syntaxis," the Reckoning
of which Began at Noon, Feb. 26/27, 747 B.C.
A Calendar Problem
cient Aramaic Observation Dates of Papyrus, Tablet, and Stone Computed in Jerusalem Civil Time (Julian Calendar) from Ginzel Tables.

TABLE I EGYPTIAN CALENDAR (Alexandrian Astronomical Time)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Julian |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series | Persian | Julian | Date of |  | Egyptian | Egyptian | Date | Calendar |
| Number* |  |  | 1 Thoth |  | Date on | Interval |  |  |
|  | Year | B. C. |  |  | pyrus | From 1 Th. | Noon | ence |
| 1 | ${ }_{2}$ | 3 |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| 00" |  | 523 | Jan. | 2 | 17 Phamenoth | 196 |  | 1 |
| 2 "A" | 15 Xerxes | 471 | Dec. | 20 | 28 Pachons | 267 |  | 1 |
| 3 " $\mathrm{Bl}^{\prime}$ | 1 Artaxerx | 465 | Dec. | 18 |  | 16 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 "D" | 6 Artaxerxes | 460 | Dec. | 17 | 1 Mesore | 330 | Nov 12 | +1 |
| 5 "30" | 9 Artaxerxes | 451 | Dec. | 15 | 4 Thoth | 3 | Dec 18 | $+1$ |
| 6 "E" | 19 Artaxerxes | 447 | Dec. | 14 | 10 Mesore | 339 | Nov 18 | +2 |
| 7 "F" | 25 Artaxerxes | 440 | Dec. | 12 | 19 Pachons | 258 | Aug 27 | +1 |
| 8 "G" | No Year | 439 | Dec. | 12 | 6 Epiphi | 305 | Oct 13 | +1 |
| 9 "H" | 4 Darius | 420 | Dec. | 7 | Payni | 269-299 | Sept 1 to | +1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Oct 1 |  |
| 10 "J" | 9 Darius | 416 | Dec. | 6 | 12 Thoth | 11 | Dec 17 | +1 |
| 11 "K" | 14 Darius | 410 | Dec. |  | 8 or 9 Athyr | 67 | Feb 10 | +1 |
| 12 "R.S." | 9 Ptol. Epiph. | 199 | Oct. | 13 | 18 Mechir | 167 | Mar 29 | +1 |

TABLE II ARAMAIC (JEWISH) CALENDAR (Jerusalem Civil Time)

| Jewish <br> Regnal <br> Year <br> 9 | Passover Year |  | 1 Nisan | Trans- | Aramaic | Aramaic | Equivalent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 Nisa | Length | Civil | lation | Date on | Interval | Date |
|  | J.C.T. | (Days) | Date | Period | Papyrus | From 1 Nis | Jer.C.T. |
|  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 7 Cambyses | Apr 20 |  | hpr 7 | 1.75 | 14 Tammuz | 102 | July 18 |
| 14 Xerxes | Apr 15 | 384 | Apr 2 | 1.76 | 18 Elul | 165 | Sept 14 |
| 21 Xerxes | May 8 | 355 | Apr 25 | 2.53 | 18 Kislou | 254 | Jan 4 |
| 5 Artaxerxes | Apr 13 | 384 | Mar 31 | 1.35 | 21 "Hesvan" | 227 | Nov 13 |
| 8 Artaxerxes | May 4 | 354 | Apr 21 | 1.93 | 7 Kisleu | 242 | Dec 19 |
| 19 Artaxerxes | Apr 10 | 383 | Mar 28 | 3.15 | 2 Kisleu | 237 | Nov 20 |
| 24 Artaxerxes | May 2 | 355 | -Apr 19 | 2.22 | 14 Ab | 131 | Aug 28 |
| No year | Apr 22 | 354 | Apr 9 | 3.15 | 23 Tisri | 199 | Oct 14 |
| 3 Darius | Apr 22 | 354 | Apr 9 | 3.46 | Elul | 147-176 | Sept 3 to |
| 8 Darius | May 6 | 355 | Apr 23 | 1.20 | 3 Kisleu | 239 | Dec 18 |
| 13 Darius | Apr 12 | 384 | Mar 30 | 2.50 | 24 Shebat | 318 | Feb 11 |
| 8 Ptol. Epiph. | Apr 9 |  | Mar 27 | 3.33 | 4 Xanthicus | s | Mar 30 |

TABLE III PTOLEMAIC LUNAR ECLIPSE CHECK ON BGYPTIAN NEW YEAR TABLE


* References on pages $6-10$

[^2]
## COMPUTATIONS EMPLOYED IN ANALOGUE TABLES I,II,III

## 1. Procedure in Computation of Egyptian Dates (Table I)

From Egyptian New Year Table (pp. 1,2), find Julian date for 1 Thoth of specified year. Determine interval from 1 Thoth to Egyptian date inclusive (col. 5), and add interval to civil date of 1 Thoth. Resultant figure is Julian equivalent in astronomical time (noon to noon) for the Egyptian date of papyrus, tablet or stone, as the case may be.

For example: In the year 465 B. C. (Papyrus "B"), the civil date for the Egyptian new year is Dec 18 (col. 4), corresponding to a noon-tonoon day. Interval from 1 Thoth to 17 Thoth (Papyrus date, col 5) is 16 days. Add 16 days to Dec 18 and get Jan 3 -- the Julian equivalent in astronomical time for 17 Thoth in year $465-464 \mathrm{~B}$. C. To this date add one calendar day to reduce to Jewish civil time. Result is Jan 4, the coincident Aramaic date in Papyrus "B." (Comp. col. 16.)

## 2. Procedure in Computation of Jewish or Aramaic Dates (Table II)

From Jewish Passover Table (page 3), find Julian date for 1 Nisan of specified year, and note also length of year between passovers, as given in last column. (The length of the Jewish year determines the length of its variable months. If the year has an extra day, as in a 355-day year, that day is given to Hesvan; if the year is short one day, as in a 383-day year, a day is taken from Kisleu.) Determine interval from 1 Nisan to Aramaic papyrus date inclusive, and add to civil date of 1 Nisan. Resultant figure is the Julian equivalent, in Jerusalem civil time, of the Aramaic date.

For example: In the year 465 (Papyrus " $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ ), 1 Nisan is dated Apr 25 (col. 12), and the length of year is 355 days (last column of Passover Table). Hesvan gets the extra day, and is therefore 30 days long, making the interval from 1 Nisan to 18 Kisleu, 254 days. Add 254 days to April $25(5+31+30+31 \div 31+30+31+30+31+4)$, and the result is Jan 4, the Julian civil date of 18 Kisleu. This whole computation is based on the simple fact that the ancient Jewish Passover followed the Jewish day of full moon in Jerusalem at the time of barley harvest.

It is always necessary to take note of the Julian leap years, when February has 29 days. If the year B. C., when divided by 4 has a remainder of 1 , then it is a leap year. But, as in the case of the year 465, which had its leap day in early spring, the computation does not always pass over the leap month, and this fact has to be carefully watched.

## 3. Procedure in Computation of Ptolemaic Eclipses (Table III)

Each eclipse is worked out in connection with Table III, and discussed in detail on pages 11 to 19.


In the accompanying diagrarn, the papyri, with one exception, occur at the earliest and latost limits of 1 Nisan. By counting aheadto. I4 Nisan, it may be noted that the passover limits in this contury are from the date April 10 to May 8 . ( Cf . " $\mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}$ and " $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$.) These limits are in harmony with those of ths first century A.D., thatScaliger reports as April 8 to May 6 ("De Emendatione Temporum," p. 265), ond which would of necessity be dated two days earlior, owing to the earlier occurronce of the moon one day every 300 yaars on the Julion calendar (Scaliger, "Do Enendatione Temporum," p. 70). The papyri dates theroforo confirm Scaliger's testimony, which ho dorived from early Jowish cycles ho had in hond.

Julian Years


Each year in the accompanying diagram corresponds to a certain number of leap days, as reckoned from the beginning of the Nabonassar Era, February 27, 747 B.C. Inasmuch as 1 Thoth, the Egyptian new year, slips back one day every 4 years, the position of 1 Thoth for any year, will be just as many days earlier then Februnny 27, as there are leap days in the interval between 747 md the selected year (of course in advance of the beginning of the era). The following series of months corresponds to the monthly position of 1 Thoth during the Sothic Cycle from 1322 B.C. to 139 A.D.:

| B. C. |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $1369--1246$ | July |
| $1249-1126$ | June |
| $1125--1002$ | May |
| $1001--882$ | April |
| $881--$ | 759 |
| $758--$ | March |
| $641--$ | February |
| 618 | January |


| B. C. |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $517-394$ | December |
| $393-274$ | November |
| $273-150$ | October |
| $149--30$ | September |
| $29-9 . D$. |  |
| $96-219$ | August |
| $96-21$ | July |

(Translated by A. E. Cowley from original texts)
Papyrus "A" -- Grant of building rights. Date said to be quite certain, 471 B. C. Found rolled up, tied, and sealed.

Translation of Date: "On the 18th of Elul, that is the 28th day of Pahons, year 15 of King Xerxes, etc."--Cowley, A. E., "Aramaic Papyri of the Fifth Century B. C.," p. 11. Oxford, 1923.

Papyrus "B" -- Concerning property rights. Papyrus is almost perfect, but the number in the Egyptian month is broken. Gutesmann and Hontheim calculate " 17 " to be the required number. Fotheringham and Shürer -- and therefore Ginzel, who made all the calculations for Shürer --favor " 17 Thoth" (Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Vol. LXIX, 1909, p. 14).

Iranslation of Date: "On the 18th of Chisleu, that is the 7th (17th, in harmony with foregoing) day of Thoth, in year 21, the beginning of the reign when King Artaxerxes sat on his throne, etc."--Idem, p. 16.

Papyrus "D" -- Translation of Date: "On the 2lst of Chisleu, that is the lst day of Mesore, the 6th year of Artaxerxes, the king, etc. "-- Idem, $p$. 23.

Concerning this papyrus, Cowley reasons that Artaxerxes I is signified because the transaction relates to the same persons whose names appear in "B." But the 21 st Kisleu as 1 Mesore would mean that 1 Thoth would have to occur a month earlier than its position in the 6th of Artaxerxes -- Dec. 16/17 for 460 B. C. -- and Fotheringham and Shuirer solve the difficulty by making the Aramaic date read a month earlier, that is, as 21 Hesvan, instead of 21 Kisleu. With this reading, the synchronism is exact. See Fotheringham's "Calendar Dates," in Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Vol. LXIX, p. 15.

Papyrus "30" -- Ungnad No. "30" is the same as No. "10" in Cowley. Papyrus refers to a contract for a loan. Was a long document almost perfectly preserved, found still folded, tied and seeled.

Translation of Date: "On the 7th of Chisleu, that is the 4th day of the month Thoth, the 9th year of Artaxerxes the king, etc."--"Aramaic Papyri," p. 30 .

The synchronization does not take place in the 9th of Artaxerxes, as reckoned from his first year in 464 B. C., but from the 9 th year after the revolt of Egypt in 460 B. C., as soon as the Persians had again obtained control. The coincident year of the two dates is 451 B . C. Although the war, incited by the Libyan king Inaros, lasted six years (Thucydides, "History of the Peloponnesian War," Book 1, CVIII. 5-CX. 2. p. 183. Tr. Smith. Harvard Press, 1935), yet in a short time "the remnant of the Persians held out, and gave Artaxerxes time to send a new army to their aid" (Brugsch, Henry, "History of Egypt," Second Edition, p. 332. London, 1881). Dr. Brugsch quotes the text of a rock-inscription, in which the Persion eunuch Aliurta mentions his service under Artaxerxes as "the five years of the king of Upper and Lower Egypt, the sovereign, Arta-khshesesh (Artaxerxes), and the 16 years, etc." Evidently the Egyptian revolt in $460 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C} .$, resulted in the two periods of hliurta's office (Idem, p. 314), and Papyrus "30" seems to confirm this short lapse of Persian rule.

Papyrus " $E$ " -- Cowley says that "a peculiarity of this text is the number of mistakes in spelling, though the scribe, Nathen b. Ananiah, must have been a professional notary, since he also wrote Nos. 10 and 15."

Translation of Date: "On the 3rd of Chisleu, that is the 10th day of the month Mesore, year 19 of Artaxerxes the king, etc."-- "Aramaic Papyri," p. 38 .

In Cowley's comment on this date, he says: "According to Gutesmann it should be Chislou $2=$ Mesore 10, or Chisleu $3=$ Mesore 11. Hontheim reads 2."-- Idem. It would be easier to drop a figure out of the Aramaic toxt than to insert one. Hence we accept the alternative reading, "Chisleu $2=$ Mesore 10."

Papyrus "F" -- Settlement of claim. Date is 441-440 B. C.
Translation of Date: "On the 14th of Ab , that is the 19 th day of Pahons, year 25 of Artaxerxes the king, etc."-- Idem, p. 42. "The papyrus is in an excellent state of preservation."

Papyrus "G" -- Marriage contract. "About 441 B. C." Text shows that the number of the king's year is lost, for the first line is much broken. Cowley says that the text is very difficult, "partly owing to its broken condition, and partly to the many unknown words." Owing to the age of the sons, "present marriage cannot have taken place much after 440." Synchronization does occur in 439 B. C. for 23 rd of Tisri. The date for Tisri is uncertain.

Translation of Date: "On the 25th (?) of Tisri that is the 6th day of the month Epiphi, year. . - of Artaxerxes the king, etc."-- Idem, p. 45.

Papyrus "H" -- Settlement of a claim. 420 B . C. "The date is the 4 th year of Darius, who must be Darius II, and the year is therefore 420 B. C."

Translation of Date: "In the month Elul, that is Payni, 4th year of Darius the king at that time in $Y$ eb the fortress, etc." - Idem, p. 68.

Cowley's comment: "The day of the month is not given, which is unusual. The Egyptian month may be Payni or Paophi. From the calculations of Mr. Knobel and Dr. Fotheringhem, it seems that Payni suits the chronology best. So also Gutesmann."-- Idem, p. 59.

Since Elul has 29 days, and Payni, 30, the coincidence would have to occur either at the beginning or end of the month. In 420, it occurred at the end of Elul and Payni.

Papyrus " $J$ " -- Renunciation of claim. "The date, which is given twice, is the 8 th (Egyptian 9th) year of Darius (II) $=416$ B. C." - Idem, p. 83. Cowley further comments on the date, saying that "the Egyptian yenr began with Thoth, and did not coincide with the Jewish year beginning with Nisan. This synchronism is important." Idem.

Translation of Date: "On the 3 rd of Chisleu, year 8 , that is the 12 th day of Thoth, year 9 of Darius the king at that date in Yeb the fortress, etc."-- Idem, p. 85.

Papyrus "K" -- Assignment of slaves. Papyrus very well preserved, and "hardly any letter really doubtful." Cowley emphasizes the double reckoning of the regnal years, that counts 13 Jewish and 14 Egyptian for Darius II in Shebat and Athyr in 412-411 B. C. (Idem, p. 103.)

Translation of Date: "On the 24th of Shebat, year 13, that is the 9th day of Athyr, year 14 of Darius the king in the fortress of Yeb, etc."-Idem, p. 104.

Stone "R. S." -- Rosetta Stone. Ptolemy Epiphanes -- the fifth Ptolemy -is the king of the Rosetta Stone (Mahaffy, J. P., "Flinders Petri Papyri," p. 27, note. Dublin, 1891), and the inscription "was certainly decreed in the 9th year of his reign" (Mahaffy, "History of Egypt," p. 151). But when Philopator
died, young Ptolemy Epiphanes (5 years old) had already been co-regent from the year of his birth (Smyley, J. Gilbart, "Greek Papyri from Gurob," p. 28. Dublin, 1921; Mahaffy, "History of Egypt," p. 151). He was only later crowned at Memphis "in the 9th year of his reign" (Revillout, E, "Papyrus Bilingue du temps de Philopator," p. 42. London, 1892). His 9th year was doubtless taken to be the 9 th of his co-regency, and hence of his birth year, for it is in 199 B. C. that the Rosetta Stone dates synchronize. Dr. Smyley argues (loco citato) that Epiphanes was born in 210 B. C., and was made co-regent 50 days after birth. On the basis of this history, the Rosetta Decree harmonizes with 199 B. C.

Translation of the Rosetta Inscription Date: "In the 9th year. .. of the god Epiphanes Eucharistos. . the 4th of the month Xanthicus, according to the Egyptians the 18th of Mecheir."-- Mahaffy, J. P., "History of Egypt," p. 152. London, 1899. See also Mülleri, C and T., "Fragmenta Historicorum Graecorum, Inscription de Rosette." Tr. by Latronne. Paris, 1853.

## ECLIPSE REFERENCES FOR TABLE（PAGE 4）

（Translated from Ptolemy＇s Greek text）
＂Therefore，of three ancient eclipses of those observed in Babylon， which we have taken，the first is recorded in the first year of Mardo－ kempad，on the $29 / 30$ of the Egyptian Thoth．The eclipse began，they say， fully an hour after the rising，and it was total．Since the sun stood in the last of the Fishes，the night had properly 12 equinoctial hours ex－ actly，and so the beginning of the eclipse of course fell $41 / 2$ equinoc－ tial hours before midnight，but the middle，when now the eclipse was full， $21 / 2$ hours before midnight．．．but in Alexandria we found the middle of the submitted eclipse $3 \mathrm{l} / 3$ equinoctial hours before midnight．＂－－Claudiou Ptolemaiou，＂Mathematike Suntaxis，＂pp．244，245．In Halma．Paris， 1813. 721 B．C．，Mar 19．）
＂And the second eclipse was recorded in the second year of the same Mardokempad on the 18／19 of the Egyptian Thoth．．．the middle of the eclipse occurred in Babylon at the middle of the night itself，but in Alexandria it appeared at $5 / 6$ of an hour before midnight．＂- Idem，p． 245.【 720 B．C．，Mar 8】

3．＂And the third eclipse was recorded in the second year of Mardokem－ pad，on the $15 / 16$ of the Egyptian Phamenoth．．．In Al exandria the middle of the time of the eclipse was complete at $41 / 3$ equinoctial hours before midnight．＂－－Idem，pp．245，246．（720 B．C．，Sopt 1．）
＂For in the 5th year of Nabopollassar，which is the 127th year of Nabonassar，on the 27／28 Eeyptian Athyr，toward the end of the 11th hour， in Babylon the moon began to eclipse，and for the most part a quarter of the diameter was obscurod on the south．．．in Alexandria it（the middle of the eclipse）occurred only 5 hours after midnight．＂－－Idem，pp． 340 ， 341．【621 B．C．．April 22．】

5．＂Again in the 7th year of Cambyses，which is the 225 th year from Nabonassar，according to the Egyptian 17／18 Phamenoth，one hour before midnight，the moon was eclipsed in Babylon on the northern half of its diameter．．．in Alexandria it occurred $15 / 6$ equinoctial hours before midnight．＂－－Idem，pp．341，342．523 B．，C．．．July 16．）

6．＂The second eclipse employed by Hipparchus，occurred in the 20th year of Darius，the successor to Cambyses，in the $28 / 29$ of the Egyptian Epiphi，the night having advanced $61 / 3$ equinoctial hours，in which the moon，in like manner，eclipsed the fourth part of its diameter on the south．．．in Alexandria the middle of the eclipse occurred $11 / 4$ equi－ noctial hours before midnight．＂－－Idem，pp．269，270．【502 B．C．，Nov． 19．）

7．＂As the first eclipse，we have named that one which，under Darius I in Babylon，in the 31 st year of his reign，was observed on the $3 / 4$ Egyptian Tybi，in the midst of the 6th hour of the night．At the same time，as the exact report runs，the moon was eclipsed two inches on the south，that is，1／6 part of its diameter．＂－－Idem，p．267．【491 B．C．， April 25．）

8．＂Again，they say that the eclipse occurred when Phanostratos the Athenian was archon，in the month Skirophorion，on the 24／25 Egyptian Phamenoth．．．Now the sun stood in the last part of the Gemini，thus the hour of the night amounted to 12 time－degrees，that is， $48^{\mathrm{m}}$ ；conse－ quently made $51 / 2$ civil hours，or $42 / 5$ equinoctial hours．The begin－ ning of the eclipse had therefore taken place $42 / 5$ hours before midnight， or $73 / 5$ equinoctial hours after the noon of the 24 th；but since the whole length of the eclipse was given at 3 hours，thus the middle was evidently $91 / 10$ equinoctial hours after the noon．In Alexandria，consequently，it must have entered $81 / 4$ equinoctial hours after noon of the 24 th．＂－－Idem， pp．276，277．（382B．C．．．June 18．】

9．＂They say that the third eclipse occurred in the 55th year of the second period on the 5th Egyptian Mesore．．．Now since the sun stood in the midst of the Virgin，thus in Alexandria，the hour of the night amounted to $142 / 5$ time－degrees，that is $573 / 5^{m}$ ；consequently made out the $21 / 3$ civil hours after midnight，or $21 / 4$ equinoctial．Therefore the middle（of eclipse）was $141 / 4$ equinoctial hours after the noon of the 5th．＂－－Idem，p．281．【200 B．C．，Sept 12．】
＂Hipparch asserts that he observed the sun and moon with the help of instruments in Rhodes on the llth of the Egyptian Pharmuthi，at the beginning of the second hour－－197th year after the death of Alexander． ．Now if the observation took place at the beginning of the second hour，that is，about 5 civil hours before the noon of the 11 th，etc．＂－－ Idem，p．300．【128 B．C．，May 2．】
＂The third eclipse had occurred in the 20th year of Hadrian，on the 19／20 of the Egyptian Pharmuthi．The middle，according to our reckoning， entered at 4 equinoctial hours aftor midnight．${ }^{n}-$ Idem，p． $255 . 【 136$ A．D．． Mar 6．${ }^{-1}$

CORRESPONDING OPPOLZER REFERENCES
（Greenwich Civil Time）
1．Von Oppolzer，Th．Ritter，＂Canon der Finsternisse，＂Wien， 1887. No． 741 ，p． $332=\operatorname{Mar}$ 19． $19^{\text {h }} 4^{\text {m }}$ ．$\quad 721$ B．C．
2．Idem．No． 743, p． $332=\operatorname{Mar}$ 8． $21^{\mathrm{h}} 30^{\mathrm{m}}$ ．$\quad 720$ B．C．
3．Idem．No． 744, p． $332=$ Sept 1． $17^{\mathrm{h}} 4^{\mathrm{m}}$ ．$\quad 720$ B．C．
4．Idem．No． 901 ，p． $334=$ April 22． $2^{\mathrm{h}} 38^{\mathrm{m}}$ ． 621 B．C．
5．Idem．No． 1056 ，p． $335=$ July 16． $21^{\mathrm{h}} 0^{\mathrm{m}}$ ．$\quad 523$ B．C．
6．Idem．No． 1090, p． $335=$ Nov 19． $21_{h}^{\text {h }} 24_{\text {In }}^{\text {In }} \quad 502$ B．C．
7．Idem．No． 1107, p． $336=$ April 25． $19^{\mathrm{h}} 55^{\mathrm{m}}$ ． 491 B．C．
8．Idem．No． 1276, p． $337=$ June 18． $188^{\mathrm{h}} 31^{\mathrm{m}}$ ．$\quad 382$ B．C．
9．Idem．No．1547，p． $340=$ Sept 12． $0^{\mathrm{h}} 28^{\mathrm{m}}$ ．
10．Idem．No． 1660, p． $341=$ May 2．$\quad 4^{\mathrm{h}} 35^{\mathrm{m}}$ ．
11．Idem．No． 2075, p． $345=\operatorname{Mar} 6 . \quad 1^{\mathrm{h}} 43^{\mathrm{m}}$ ． 136 A．D．

THE PROBLEM. --In order to understand the meaning of the ancient Egyptian and Aramaic double dates, found on papyrus, tablet, and stone, it is essential first of all (1) to demonstrate the relation between the three calendars involved -- Egyptian, Jewish, and Julian. Although Julian time did not exist before the age of the Caesars, yet all the chronological tables and eclipse canons which extend back to ancient periods of history are based upon a projected Julian year. The Julian calendar is therefore definitely related to the solution of this problem, and becomes the common denominator of time between the other two. A second feature (2) concerns the synthetic construction of suitable calendar tables, upon which the papyri dates can be oriented, and their epochs demonstrated.

1. Relation Between the Calendars.--According to both tradition and authoritative chronology, the Egyptian day was astronomical, and probably extended from noon to noon. It was doubtless the forerunner of the nautical astronomical day, which was in operation until 1925. Tradition has it that the Egyptian day began when the hour angle of the sun was zero, that is, when the sun crossed the meridian. The Egyptian new year day, 1 Thoth, started at noon, and, according to Alb今rûni, the day was reckoned from the moment "when the sun arrives on the plane of the meridian, till the same moment of the following day." ("Chronology of Ancient Nations," p. 6.) The day was designated by one single date, though it passed through the midnight hour. Anciently, peeple were induced to prefer the meridian to the horizon, because the day from sunset to sunset varies in length, while the time between meridians is constant, and regular everywhere on earth. The horizons, on the other hand, vary for every latitude. The Jewish day, on the contrary, consists of parts of two days; but on the calendar, it is customary to civildate the Jewish day by the Julian day with which it coincides from midnight to sunset. This is the second civil day of the two with which the Jewish year agrees.

While chronologers are not unanimous in their opinion concerning the Egyptian day, as from noon to noon, yet this plan is in harmony with a reasonable solution of the papyri double dates. The following diagram further demonstrates the exact relation between Egyptian and Jewish time:


1 Thoth
Therefore a Nisan (April 9, civ. time) $=5$ Athyr (April 8, astronom. time) --on the calendar, one day difference.

In this diagram, the Egyptian day, 1 Thoth, starts at noon, and is calen-dar-dated April 8 until the subsequent noon. It takes the date of the civil day in progress "one moment after the noon" at which it begins. The Jewish day, 1 Nisan, starts at sunset of April 8 and extends to sunset of April 9. While it covers parts of two days, April 8 and April 9, on the calendar, it is designated April 9 only. Although both Jewish and Egyptian days have arently hours in common, yet, on the calendar, the Jewish day is dated one day later than the Egyptian. There is consequently one day's difference between these two days in their calendar dating. This is the first feature of the papyrus problem to be understood.
2. The Tables.--The second feature relates to the preparation of Jewish and Egyptian calendar tables, which will outline the two kinds of time in-volved--civil and astronomical. The Jewish Table, found on page 3, is based on the two crucifixion postulates: (a) The passover moon in time of barley harvest; and (b) the passover on the day following Jewish full-moon-day in Jerusalem. The Ginzel full moon dates (G.M.T.) were used in determining the true passover dates, and were first changed to Jerusalem civil time by adding $12^{h}+2^{h} 20^{m}(0.59)$ to each full moon. Those full moon Julian dates that then came before sunset were designated 13 Nisan, and those civil dates that occurred after sunset, were designated 12 Nisan. 14 Nisan was then counted
as the day following Jewish full moon day in Jerusalem, and the lst day of Nisan was reckoned as the 14th day earlier. Each translation period was computed as the difference between conjunction and 1 Nisan, $60^{\prime}$ clock sunset. Length of year was calculated from one passover to another, using the Julian calendar. If year was 354 days long, the months alternated a regular sequence of 30 and 29 days, from Nisan to end of year. If year was 355 days, Hesvan was made 30 days; if 383 days, Kisleu was given 29 days. In leap year, Adar had 30, and Veadar, 29. Barley harvest moons determined whether year was common or embolismic. (For Table of Jewish and Egyptian months, cf. page 19.)

The Egyptian New Year Table (pp. 1,2) is based upon months, each one of which had 30 days, except 12th month Mesore, which had 35. The Egyptian year was therefore only 365 days long, and never changed. Its new year, 1 Thoth, slipped back one day every four years, and continued for the 4 -year period. (Comp. Table V for 1 Thoth months from Nabonassar era to end of Sothic cycle.) The 1 Thoth dates of the Table (pp. 1,2) are founded upon 15 or more Ptolemaic Iunar eclipses (Table III, p. 4), upon coincident Julian eclipse dates from Oppolzer's Canon, and upon the corresponding full moon dates from the Ginzel and Guinness tables (Table III, p. 4, col. 8). In the "Almagest" references (pp. 9, 10), are the translations from Ptolemy's Greek text, giving the exact position of each eclipse, first in Babylon, and then in Alexandria. From these direct quotations, it will be noted that the descriptions are not given in astronomical time, in connection with the Egyptian date, but are directly related to a single point of time -- either midnight, noon, or Babylonian sunset. However, Ptolemy usually concludes with an Alexandrian dating of each eclipse. And when the Alexandrian dates are compared with Oppolzer's Greenwich civil time eclipses, they are found in almost exact agreement. Frequently Ptolemy mentions the eclipse as between two Egyptian dates; sometimes only one date is given; and then again the eclipse may occur on his second date, as is the case with No. 11, of the series here presented.

From these canons and tables, it is possible to establish the exact position of each Ptolemaic eclipse, its coincident Julian date, full moon date, and Egyptian date. (All these details are diagramed in columns 6, 7, and 8 of Table III, p. 4, and the eclipse references are pp. 9, 10.) But first, from Table $V, p .5^{-a}$, find the civil month that corresponds to 1 Thoth for the regnal year selected, as for example, 720 B. C., in eclipse No. 3. In this instance, 1 Thoth was in February. The statistics for eclipse No. 3 in 720 B. C., with 1 Thoth in February point to September --193 days later-- as the time of the eclipse. For September, 720 B. C., Oppolzer gives Sept. $117^{h} 4^{m}$ ("Canon," No. 744, p. 332.) The equation therefore becomes possible that --

September $117^{\mathrm{h}} 4^{\mathrm{m}}+2^{\mathrm{h}} 10^{\mathrm{m}}$ (Oppolzer's eclipso in Alexandrian time) $=$ " $41 / 3$ hours before midnight," 15 Phamenoth (Ptolemy's eclipse for Alexandria.)

In this equation, both Ptolemy and Oppolzer are in practical agreement in civil-dating the eclipse. Oppolzer's " $19^{\mathrm{h}} 14^{m_{1 "}}$ (Alex.C.T.) was $7: 14$ p.m.; Ptolemy's "4 $1 / 3$ hours before midnight" was $7: 40$ p.m. Hence, both dates must be treated as civil time. The important feature only is to determine which Egyptian date ends the interval, that extends back to the true date of 1 Thoth. In the diagram (Table III, column 6), the day ending each interval is stippled. In No.l instance, the eclipse position adds a part of a day to the interval. If this interval is less than 12 hours, as when eclipse occurs before midnight, it can not be designated as a whole day on the calendar without breaking the correlation of the calendars, and the two kinds of time involved. If the interval is more than 12 hours, as is the case when the eclipse occurs after midnight, then the Egyptian day of the eclipse is the end of the interval, as in Nos. 4, 9, 10, and 11.

For example: In No. 9, 200 B. C., according to the testimony of Ptolemy, we may look for an eclipse on 5 Mesore, "2 $1 / 3$ hours after midnight," which would be 334 days after 1 Thoth. In 200 B. C., 1 Thoth occurred 137 days earlier than in February, 747, (cf. leap-day Table V, p. 5-a) or about the
middle of October; 334 days later than this point of Time, point to September for the eclipse. Oppolzer's Canon, No. 1547, p. 340, records just one lunar eclipse in the autumn of 200 B. C.--September $120^{\mathrm{h}} 28^{\mathrm{m}}$. The equation, therefore, can be written that --

September12 $0^{\mathrm{h}} 28^{\mathrm{m}}+2^{\mathrm{h}} 10^{\mathrm{m}}$ (Oppolzer's eclipse in Alexandrian civil time) $=$ " $21 / 3$ hours after midnight," 5 Mesore (Ptolemy's eclipse for Alexandria)

Oppolzer's date is 2:38 a.m., and Ptolemy's, 2:20 a.m. They are therefore both in civil time. To this eclipse and to one more of the series in Table III (No. 10), Ptolemy ascribes a single Egyptian date. This helps much in discovering the Julian date that corresponds to his beginning of the Nabonassar era. In No. 9, he counts the interval from the beginning of the "epoch" as 547 years, 334 days, and $14 \frac{1}{4}$ hours ("Mathematike Suntaxis," p. 281). These figures plainly declare that he was reckoning as if from February 27 as 1 Thoth in 747 B. C., which the following calendric argument shows:

If February 27 was 1 Thoth in 747 B. C., as the Egyptian New Year Table represents, then in 200 B. C., the new year would have receded 137 days to October 13, as given on page 2 of the Table. Ptolemy counted 5 Mesore -- the day of the eclipse -- as the 335th day of the year, which is the equivalent of 1 Thoth +334 days. By adding 334 days to 1 Thoth, or October $13(18+30+31+$ $31+28+31+30+31+30+31+31+12)$, we get Sept. 12 as the result, which is Oppolzer's date for the eclipse.

Consequently, the 5th Mesore must be the end of the interval, and 1 Thoth is found by reckoning back 334 days from Sept. 12, thus making October 13 to be civil date for 1 Thoth in 200 B. C., and February 27 in 747 B. C. In column 9, the reckoning is reversed, adding 334 days to 0 ctober 13 , thus marking September 12 as the civil date of the eclipse. The ruling is therefore important thet when the eclipse occurs after midnight, the Egyptian day in progress at that time is the end of the interval. Eclipse No. 10 Ptolemy also computes in the same way ("Idem," p. 300). Both eclipses are important witnesses for making February 27 the beginning of the Nabonassar era.

No. 11 offers a slight variation from the others, in that the eclipse occurs on the second Egyptian date mentioned by Ptolemy, that is, 20 Pharmuthi. But this position is established by the testimony of Censorinus, requiring July 21 ("l2th of the calends of August") as I Thoth in the 4 -year period from 136 to 139 A. D. His statement follows:
"The aeras of the Egyptians always commence on the first day of the month, Thoth, a day which, this present year, corresponds to the 7th calends of July, whilst a hundred years ago [139A. D.】, under the second consulate of the Emperor Antoninus Pius and of Bruttius Praesena, this same day corresponded to the 12 th of the calends of August, the ordinary epoch of the rising of the Canicular star in Egypt. Thus we see that we are to-day really in the hundredth year of the Annus Magnus, which, as I have stated above, is called the solar and canicular year and Year of God."--"De Die Natali," tr. by Maude, p. 33. New York, 1900.

On the basis, therefore, of these well-authenticated Ptolemaic eclipses, eleven of which are given in Table III, and of the corresponding Oppolzer Canon eclipse dates in Julian time, the Egyptian New Year Table, is here offered with which to solve the double dating of papyrus, tablet and stone. 1 Thoth being established for the eclipse years, it was then possible to compute 1 Thoth for the intervening years, by simply making it one day earlier every fourth year. In this manner, the New Year Table was built up. When Egyptian dates are computed according to the position of 1 Thoth, as given in the Table for the various 4-year periods, the resulting dates will occur earlier by one day than their companion Aramaic dates, the one being given in astronomical time, and the Aramaic in civil time. (Comp. Tables I and II, cols. 7 and 16, p. 4). This difference of one day was demonstrated to have existed between ancient Egyptian and Jewish calendation. The synthetic tables here presented for the solution of this calendar problem -- the Jewish, based upon the two important principles governing the crucifixion date, and the Egyptian, definitely tied to two authentic canons of eclipses -- similarly differ by one day in their resultant computed dates.

With the exception of Papyrus "E," which investigators of this problem recognize to be an extra day out of alignment, the other eleven monument dates have this constant difference of one day. If the tables of Schram,

Ginzel or P. V. Neugebauer, should be substituted, the results would differ. Ginzel starts his Nabonassar era with February 27, the same as the Table here presents, but some of his 1 Thoth dates are out of agreement with important eclipses. However, when he comes to the year 139 A . D., ho places the rising of Sirius on July 21 ("Handbuch der mathematischen und technischen Chronologie," p. 187. Leipzig, p. 1906). This is in harmony with Censorinus, and with the eclipse in 136 A. D., March 6, the 20th year of Hadrian. In commenting on the relation of Egyptian and Julian calendars, the following remark comes from Glenn Draper, Associate Astronomer, U. S. Naval Observatory:
"If one were privileged to tell early chronologers how to have dated their events in different calendars, the rule of correspondence should be, the day in progress one moment after noon. As it is, their confusion has come on down to modern times."--Glenn Draper, Washington, D. C., September 20, 1940.

Dr. O. Neugebauer, professor of mathematics in Brown University, finds the Egyptian dates in Schram and Ginzel too early to agree with a dated motion of the five major planets. He was therefore interested in the Egyptian Table here presented, that begins the Nabonassar era with Fobruary 27.

The principles of calendation employed in the construction and use of the Jewish Table (page 3), have been briefly outlined in the beginning of this discussion. It should be further stressed, however, that the small constant difference between the resultant Egyptian and Aramaic dates is of great importance in support of the calendar features that characterize the Jewish Table. The Egyptian calendar has no variations whatsoever; its months are each 30 days long, and five days are always added at the end of every year. The Jewish calendar is just the opposite -- varying all the time outside of its fixed feast period of seven months. Consequently, this constant difference of one day between the two systems of time reckoning -- a large portion of which is a permanent calendar arrangement that never changes -- shows that the last five months of the Jewish year, although subject to regular, repetitive change, are nevertheless balanced by the moon's motion. It is therefore these variable calendar months that exhibit this uniform difference between two very dissim-
ilar methods of time calculation. Such is the paradox existing between Jewish computations and the Egyptian Sothic Cycle.

The Cycle Table (page 5) is a rearrangement of the very revealing Wood 19year cycles. Instead of conjunction dates, 1 Nisan dates have been substituted in laying out the calendar curve. This enables the passover limits to be demonstrated for the papyrus period. Papyrus " B " and Papyrus " E " point to April 10 and May 8, respectively, as the extrome dates for the passover. These limits are in harmony with those of Scaliger for the first century, April 8 to May 6, which are necessarily two days earlier at the end of a 600-year period of Julian time.

The irregular intercalation presented by Papyrus " $E$," which demands embolism in year 8 of Cycle 3 instead of year 7, has been a source of much comment by various scholars. Fotheringham says that irregular intercalation was a definite characteristic of the ancient Babylonian cycle. ("Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society," Vol. LXIX, p. 18). Yet he does not consider the papyri cycles Babylonian. He quotes Shürer as concluding that in the papyrus period, the intercalations "were determined on principles similar to those which guided the Sanhedrin at a later date when the weather and the state of the crops were considered as well as the course of the sun."--Idem. M. Oppert has also proved, by his contract tablets, that the intercalations of the Babylonian calendar were irregular. ("La fixation exacte de la chronologie des derniers rois de Babylone," Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, 1893, pp. 56-74). Consequently, the change in embolism in Papyrus "E," which represents the Jewish calendar, would seem to indicate that observation was governing the passover date, rather than a fixed memonic. The fact that the papyri dates keep 1 Nisan away from the equinox, that is, they do not place 1 Nisan on or before it, is also evidence of observation only, in the papyrus period. Calculation was introduced in the Maccabeen era, about $112 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. (Albîrûnî, "Chronology of Ancient Nations," Tr . by Sachau, p. 68). The Macedonian leap month "Dioscorus," was also in use in Syria at this time (2Mac. XI:21).

In 45 B. C., the Julian calendar reform was initiated, and the finishing touches were added by Augustus, in 8 A. D. Thus the way was prepared for efficient calendar reckoning in the time of Christ, based upon both observation and calculation.

## ANCIENT CALENDAR MONTHS

| Egyptian |  | Hebrew |  |  | Macedonian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thoth | 30 | Nisan | 30 |  | Xanthicus |
| Paophi | " | Iyar | 29 |  | Artemisius |
| Athyr | " | Sivan | 30 |  | Daesius |
| Choiak | " | Tammuz | 29 |  | Panemus |
| Tybi | " | Ab | 30 |  | Löus |
| Mechir | " | Elul | 29 |  | Gorpiaeus |
| Phamenoth | " | Tisri | 30 |  | Hyperberetaeus |
| Pharmuthi | " | Hesvan | 29 | (30) | Dius |
| Pachons | " | Kisleu | 30 | (29) | Apellaeus |
| Payni | " | Tebeth | 29 |  | Audynaeus |
| Epiphi | " | Shebat | 30 |  | Peritius |
| Mesore | 35 | Adar | 29 | (30) | Dystrus |
|  |  | Veadar | 29 |  | Dioscorus |
| Macedonian months are considered commensurate with the Hebrew |  |  |  |  |  |
| gers. |  | hus, Sc | ger | Brown | nd other chrono |

The foregoing pages represent the synchronization of double-dated monuments -- papyrus, tablet and stone -- belonging to the ancient Persian period in the age of Ezra and Nehemiah. The problem necessitated the construction of calendar tables for both Egyptian and Jewish reckoning, according to which these historic dates could be computed. The use of these tables involved particular and exact specifications relating to calendation in these two kinds of time. The final solution of this calendar question has given assurance of the certainty and soundness of the principles herein employed. By the eclipse calculations, Ptolemy, Oppolzer, and the Egyptian Table of I Thoth dates agree. It is revealing to list the various features of the calendric outline, according to which the synchronization was made. The series pertaining to the two calendars -- Egyptian and Jewish -- follow the conclusions here offered:

1. The Egyptian New Year Table of 1 Thoth dates -- constructed on the basis of Ptolemy's catalog of eclipses, and of Oppolzer's "Canon der Finster-nisse"-- is thereby able to certify computations made according to its 1 Thoth positions, which cover a period of 1600 years.
2. The Jewish Table -- built up upon the two crucifixion postulates, involving all the principles of calculation employed in the solution of the crucifixion date, and of the 1844 event of prophecy -- offers a specific method of Mosaic reckoning, which, by virtue of its coincidence with the ancient Egyptian system, is therofore attested by the supporting canons of the Egyptian calendar.
3. The constant, resultant one-day difference obtained in the computed dates, determined by the use of these two calendar Tables, is indicative of the certainty and precision of the calendar rules applied.
4. The fact that the calendric principles governing the crucifixion date, solved also the papyrus dates, and provided an independent calculation confirming the Millerite 1844 chronology, shows that all three epochs of prophecy are controlled by one and the same luni-solar system of calculation.

The following calendric series was employed in the solution of the problem --

1. Jewish Calendation
(a) Jewish day calendar-dated by its second civil date.
(b) Passover following Jewish full moon day in Jerusalem.
(c) Passover limits (April 8 to May 6, lst century) determined by barley harvest moons.
(d) Length of Jewish year -- from passover to passover.
(e) Jewish feast period (Nisan to Tisri) -- an alternate sequence of 30 - and 29-day months.
(f) Hesvan $=30$ days in 355-day year; Kisleu $=29$ days in 383-day year; in leap year, Adar $=30$ days, and Veadar, 29 days.
(g) Translation period $=1$ to 4 days.
(h) Leap months determined by moon's place on the calendar.
(i) The 19-year cycle curve of the papyrus dates demonstrate the passover limits for the fifth century B. C. (April 10 to May 8).
2. Egyotian Calendation (used in this problem)
(a) Egyptian year vas only 365 days long, and consequently receded through all the scasons in 1460 years.
(b) Egyptian day, from noon to noon, designated by one single civil date.
(c) Egyptian day calendar-datod by the civil day that is in progress "one moment after its first noon."
(d) Date of the Egyptian New Yoar recedes one day every 4th year, and continues as new yoar date throughout the 4 -year interim.
(e) Egyptian New Year -- 1 Thoth -- continues in the same Julian month for about 120 years, according to length of Julian month.
(f) Nabonassar Era began at noon, February 27, 747 B. C.

These double-dated Aramaic papyri were rolled up, tied, and sealed nearly 2500 years ago. In 1900 , or thereabouts, these seals were broken for the first time. They therefore present an undistorted picture of the age in which the papyri were written. Many calendar tables, cycles, and various solar and lunar constants have been tried out in the effort to harmonize these dates. But the synchronization is accomplished by the application of the two crucifixion postulates, which revive the Mosaic order of time, bring harmony and symmetry to primitive calendation, and unity and certainty to the understanding of the prophetic period under study.

Grace E. Amedon.

Hore Fede-synchroni ${ }_{z}$ ed the month of April with the time when the sum is in Aries, which is in complote hamany with Josephus: statement when he speaks of the
as necestaribly as cecurring
month'n beginning in Aries snd not the passovor ' beginning in that sigh.

In a very critical study of the Papyri which are double dated as shown on page 17 . the corresponding Julion equivalents wore computed for both the Egyptian and Aramaic dates. The synchronisms as shown in the last colvm on page 17, --"Synchronisme" $m$ becane more rannrloble for the following reasons:
(1) The Tgyption dates aro besed on a celondar whose 365 day measuring stiok required a nevr year's date which vondered back through the months malcing oonstant reference to sone lonom starting point absolutely imperative. It is a calendar containing five blank days that mast be taken into account and yet given no nomes.
(2) The Aramaic calendar on the other hand is a lunar system of reckoning requiring a year capable of having four different lengths $-354,355,383$, or $30 L_{4}$ deys - thus maling possible a groat variation of the intorval fram the Eirst day of Misan to the date given in ayy fapyrus. It is a calendar impossible of proper analysis umless the correot momonic doternining the right embolysmic yoor be used. By the use of hypothosis "I", which requires suah a memonie to be used as vill cause the passover to fall in the time of the barley harvost, and by the use of hypothesis "II", which places the passover on the day following the full moon, the oznot Julion dato of Hisan 1 is detornined and thus allews is allowed the moom itself to detormine the proper longth of suy your. The your-longth $\wedge$ fram 500 B . C. to 400 B . C. - as showm in Table I - hag been detemined from the positions of the moon as given inn Ginzol's tables. The earact longth of the year having been found, the interval from Misan 1 to the givem papyonke da te is determined by the use of Table II. This incremont added to the computod date for Hisen 1 gives the Julian equivalont for the Aronaio date under discussion. (tum to middle of page 18 -- "Four of the elght Papyri . . .")

Hypothesis 2 -- The Iuni-solar year was intercalated in such a wry as to bring the passover in tho time of barley harvest, April 7 to Mny 7, in the idstriet of the llear Bast.

Chart A, page 7, shows a plot of the new moons or conjunctions of March and April from L55 B. C. to L.02 B. C. as given in Oinsel's tables a It is well known that a Iunar year consists usually of twelve lunar months of 29.5 days each. This Iunar yoar is approximately eleven days shorter than the tropical year of $3651 / 4$ days and this is showm on Ghart A. For example, in 443 B. C., the now moons as shown on the chart fall on March 21.27, and on April 19.69, whereas a year later the conjunction occurs March 10.53 and April 9.12. In order to keep the first day of the new year in the same season from an agricultural standpoint, there was introduced very early into the calondars of the Semitio races an Intercalary month every two or three yoars, whereby tho yoar wrould contain thirteon lumar monthe instead of twelve, malcing the year 383 or 384 days long.

They also found that at the end of nineteen years the moon and the sun returned to a place in the heavens that was almost exactly the same as that occupied at the beginning of the nineteen-year period. By introducing seven interealary months in this ninoteen-yoar period, they come to the place where they were able to prediet with groat accuracy the oxact position of the moon with regard to the sum. Let us say, for expmple, that we wish to so adjust the calendar that the first conjunction of the new year will alvays fall between the 21st of Maroh and the 20th of April.

Begin with the point "a", Chart A, $443 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$. , we would have a conjunction April 29.69. These figures are taken from Cineel's tables. ${ }^{2}$ Then in 4,2 the conjunction maricing the beginning of the new year would be at " b " on Apri1 9.12 and the following year at "o" March 28.85. If it were to extend

[^3]

Graph showing positions of Lunar Conjunctions for March and April 454 B. C.,-- 402 B. C.
as shown by the dotted line down to " d ", the following year-which would be March $17.27-1 t$ would throw the bogiming confunction of the yoar more than a month earlier than at point " e ". In order to avoid this, an intercalary month is put in in the spring of $L /, 0$ that throws the begiming conjunction of the year back to the point "e" or April 15.93. In this way it continues until the point " 1 " at L33. If it wont dow as far as the point " $g$ " shown by the dotted 1ine, it would again go below March 21. Thorefore, instead of going to "g", it goes up to a new high at "h" which is April 17.72. Continuing as before, it does not go lower than at point "k" in L25 B. C., for the same reason, but jurpss up to a new high at " $m$ " which is almost exactly the same place in the calendar as at " A ". This is the nineteen-year cycle.

At the end of every mineteen years, the sum and moon would return approximately to the same position. For instance, nineteen years later than 424 at "0", 405 B . C. it is noticed that the conjunction is in the same position In the calondar. It will also be noted that there is a certain order called a memonic by which these intercalations are made that will keop the first of the year in this samo season. Traoing the path of the moon through these ninoteen years, it is noticed that there are three conjunctions, "a", "b", and "e", and then an intercalation, three more conjunotions, and an interealation, three more conjunotions and an interealation, then two confunctions and an interealation, etc.--so that the order in terms of conjunctions for the nineteon-year cyele may be summed up as $3-3-3-2-3-3-2$. The position of the full moon as occurring approximately half way botween the given conjunctions for any year may be found as at points " $s$ ", " $t$ ", " $u$ ", " $v$ ", and " $w$ ". With this kind of a momonic, it is easy to see how the yearly oalendar operating on a luni-solar basis oan be made to conforn to the seasons of the year by the introduction of such a memonic at almost any season. There vas a time when the Sumerians interealated thoir year

In the fall but from the fifth contury on, the interealations, were made in the spring.

Chart B, page 10 , shows how such a ninoteen-year cycle has to be constructed in order to make possible the falling of the fassover on the first full moon after the vernal equinox. Por instance, the lowest point in the momonic in this chart is for the year L34. B. C., at which time the conjunction comes Jarch 12.18. The full moon coming between fourtoen and fifteon days later, as at $n x^{n}$, would come well past the vernal equinox. This represents the common custom of the intercalations made in the woricing out of the Orthodox Jewish ealendar of modern times.

Chart $C$, page 11, shows how a similar nineteen-year cyele might be constructed so that all of the conjunctions would fall within the month of April, while Chart D, page 12, shows how the same kind of a momonic could control the conjunctions marking the beginning of the year in such as way as to bring no Fassover oerlier than the 7th of April.

Iight of the papyri found at Assuan are dated both in terms of the Aramaic calendar and in terms of the Egyptian oalendar. The comparative translations of these papyri are shown on page 13. For an illustration take Fapyrus "A". This Papyrus starts off, "in the 18th of Blul, that is the 28th day of Fachons in the 15 th yoar of Xorxes." From the Canon of Ptolemy, it is dotermined that the 15 th year of Xorxes most nearly corresponds with the year Li72 B. C. Now, the Egyptian year as explained above contained twolve months of thirty days each and are named in the following order:

| Thoth | Phamenoth |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fhaophi | Fharmouthi |
| Athyr | Fachoni |
| Choiak ocale | Fayni |
| Tybi | Epiphi |
| Mechir | Mesore |

5 Spogomenae (Blank days)
The Jowish months during the first half of the yoar altermated thirty


Graph Showing How by Using the Same King of a Nnemonic All Changes of the Year Take Place

COMPAZATIVE TRANSLATIONS OF THE ASSUAN PAPYRI

| Papyrus | A. E. Cowley |  |  |  | E. B. Knobel |  |  |  | J. K. Fotheringham |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jew. Date | Eg. Date | Reign | Yr. | Jew. Date | Eg. Date | Reign | Yr . | Jew. Date | Eg. Date | Reign | Yr . |
| A | 18 Elul | 28 Pachons | 15 Xerxes | 471 | 18 Elul | 28 Pachons | 15 th of Xerxes | 471 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17, (18) } \\ \text { Elu1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 27, (28) } \\ \text { Pachons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,(15) \\ & \text { Xerxes } \end{aligned}$ | 471 |
| B | 18 Chisleu | 7 Thoth | 21; Begin. of Artax. | 465 | 18 Chisleu | 6 Thoth | lst of Artax. | 464 | 18 Chisleu | $6,(7)(8) ?$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20, (21) } \\ \text { Xerxes } \end{gathered}$ | 464 |
| D | 21 Chisleu | 1 Mesore | 6 th of Artax. | 459 |  | ot be harmo | nized |  | 21 Chisleu | 1 Mesore | $6,(5)$ Artax. | 460 |
| E | 3 Chisleu | 10 Mesore | 19th of Artax. | 447 | 3 Chisler | 10 Mesore | 19th of Artax. | 446 | 3 Chisleu | 10 Mesore | 19th of Artax. | 446 |
| F | 14 Ab | 19 Pachons | 25 th of Artax. | 412 | 14 Ab | 19 Pachons | 25 th of Artax. | 440 | $13,(14)$ | 19 Pachons | 25 th of Artax. | 440 |
| G | 25 Tishri | 6 Epiphi | - - | 442 |  | Suggest $\$$ | Year | 4,6 | 26 Tishri | 6 Epiphi | - - - - | 446 |
| H | Elul | Payni | 4 th of Darius | 420 |  | Suggest | Year | 420 |  | Suggests | Year | 420 |
| J | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { Chisleu } \\ \text { Yr. } 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|r\|r} 12 \text { Thoth } \\ \text { Yr. } 9 \end{array}$ | 8, 9th of Darius | 416 | 3 Chisleu | 12 Thoth | 8 th of Darius | 416 | 3 Chisleu | 11, (12) <br> Thoth | $7,(8)(9)$ <br> Darius | 416 |
| K | $\begin{array}{\|r} 24 \text { Shebat } \\ \mathrm{Yr} .13 \end{array}$ | 9 Athyr $\text { Yr. } 14$ | 13, 14 of Darius | 410 | 24 Shebat | 9 Athyr | 4th of Darius | 410 | $\begin{gathered} 23,(24) \\ \text { Shebat } \end{gathered}$ | $8,(9)$ <br> i.thyr | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13, (14) } \\ \text { Darius } \end{gathered}$ | 410 |
|  | A. E. Cowley <br> Aramaic Papyri of the 5th Century, B. C. oxford Clarendon Press (1923) |  |  |  | ```E. B. Knobel "Suggested Explanation of the Ancient Jewish Calendar Dates on the Aramaic Papyri." Lionthly Notices R.A.S. LXVIII, (1908) London R.A.S. (1908) pp. \(334-345\).``` |  |  |  | J. K. Fotheringham"Calendar Dates in the AramaicPapyri from Assuan."Monthly Notices R.A.S., LXIXLondon, R•A•S•, (1909) (1908)pp. $12-20$. |  |  |  |

and twenty-nine days. The location of the first day of Wisan, the now year's $\frac{11_{1}}{}$. day of the ritualistic calendar became, therofore, a very important responsibility. The last six months contain certain variations demanded by the moon to koep the first of the month as oarly as possible in harmony with the new moon orescent. Bocause of the irregularities in the motion of tho moon, the ordinary year at tines had 354 days and at other times 355 days. The addition or in intercalary month brough the total up to 383 days or 384 days as demanded by the moon. The following table showing the names of the Jewish months, together with thoir days during the difforent years, may make this elear:

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Leap Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Knowing the date of the beginning of the new year according to Egyptian reckoning, from the Canon of Ptolemy one can easily interpret the 28 th of Pachons in Fapyrus "A" in terms of the Julian calendar. In this illustration, for $9^{\text {th }}$ month instance, the 28th of Fachons in the 15 th year of Xerzes is the 12 th of Sept., 471. Assuming for the moment that the Jews ealoulated their year on the basis just explained, there would be 166 days from the 18 th of Elul back to the Ist of Nisan (ine.) If the ninoteen year oyele were plamed in such a way as to make this possible, it would mean that the conjunction beginning the Jewish year would be the one falling Mareh 30.39. By computation this proved to be correct.

In the same way the synchronisms of each papyrus were worked out, and It was found that they fitted exactly a nineteen-year cyele with intercalations made as shown in Chart $D$. (See page 15.)




The Babylonians and Persians possessed no special eras of calendrical reckoning, such as the Greeks had in their Olympiads, by which it might be possible to continuously cheok the longth of reigns, but Strassmaier discusses quite at length a Saros Tablet found in the British Huseum in 1804 and first published in the Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, giving a list of kings ruling at the 18 -year intervals of the saros period together with the year of their reigns corresponding to the first year of the period. ${ }^{1}$

Insofar as the tablet goes, these periods begin with the 7 th year of Mebonidus. All this tablet preports to do is to tell in what year of the reigning monarch the first year of the saros occurs. Thus:


| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

8th Year
of Cyrus
8th Year
of Cyrus


18 yrs .

The number on the tablet just before the $\mathrm{king}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ name is year 1 of the 18 -year saros period. Thus year 7 of the reign of Nabonidus corresponds with year 1 of this saros period or 18 -year cycle. The year 8 of the reign of Cyrus then corresponds with year $l$ of the next saros period. The following table is a

## It is averelipsecyles at the and of which

$1_{\text {Strassmaier, Zoitschrift fur Assyriologie, Vol. VII, (1892), } 199 \text { ff. }}$ Vol. VIII (18L3), 106 ff . The word "saros" refers to a Babjionian lumar cycle of 223 lunations or 6585.32 days. At, the end of this saros period, the centers of the sun and moon return so nearly to their relative position at the beginning of that period that the eclipses, both solar and lunar, of the next 18 years can be predicted with astonishing accuracy. In one of these saros periods, there are about 29 lunar eclipses and 41 solar eclipses. Because of the slight difference in the relative position of the sun and moon, these returning eclipses in the following saros period appear in longitudes approximately one-third of the distance around the world $\begin{aligned} & \text { vest of the regions where they were first noted. }\end{aligned}$

* This telole, therefore, becomes an excellent means of checking the reigns of the Persian period from an astronomical viewpoint. For all of these Fersian kings, ontinact
tablets have been found dated in the "accession year. This aecession year is a distinct yoar from the first year of the king's reign. The latest tablet for Mabonidus, for example, is dated in the 17 th year and the 9 th month. ${ }^{3}$ This harmonizes with the length of reign given Nabonidus by Ptolemy in his Canon. If now the "accession year" of Cyrus was a year different from either the 17 th year of Nabonidus or the 1 st yoar of Cyrus' reign, the 18 -year saros period would reach only to the 7 th year of Cyrus and not the 8 th, thus:
translation of the first portion of this saros tablet covering the years from Mabonidus through the 36th year of Artaxerxes. That which is enclosed in parentheses has been restored, for that portion of the tablet was broken. From other sources, however, it is easy to accurately costore the missing portions.

Portion of the Saros Tablet Covering the Fifth Century ${ }^{2}$
(B) Nabonidus
(8) Cyrus

9 Darius
27 Darius
9 Xerxes
6 Artaxerxes
(2) Artaxerx)es
(1 Darius II
19 Darius II
Artaxerxes II
Artaxerxes II
(18) 18 18
(18)

18 18 18

18
18
18


HOwever, if the "death year" of Nabonidus and the "eccession year" of Cyrus are counted as one and the same year, the first year of Cyrus' reign beginning with the new ealendar yoar, then the record of the saros tablet becomes exact, thus :

| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Saros trblet
This may be checked with all the kings named on the list and found to work in exactly the same mamer, this definitely proving that in the Babylonian-Persian chronology, the death year of the king is counted as the last year of his reign and is also counted as the accession year of the following king, thus:

Nabonidus
Cyrus

| 16 | 17 Death \& Access. |
| :--- | :--- |

cyas

| 16 | 17 | Death \& Aceess. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{2}$ Arno Poebel, (Republished and discussed) A J S L, LVI (1939), 121-146. ${ }^{3}$ Strassmaier, Zeitschrift fur Assyriologie, Vol. IV, Nabonidus Tablet No. 1055.

The official "first year" of the new king's reign began with the new calendar year. As has been deronstrated earlier in this discussion, this is identically porr.
the same mothed as that used by the Jews at this same period in history, the only variation being that the Babylonian-Persian civil year began in the spring, while the Jewish ofvil year began as from the following fall. This will become more and more evident in the present study of some Aramaic papyri that were found at Assuan about the turn of the century and first published in 1906.4

In the Egyptian calendar religious texts inscribed during the $V$ and VI dynasties show that a calendar of 365 days was used. This ealendar comprised 12 months of 30 days each plus 5 Epagomenae or blank days needed to complete the year. There was no intercalation in this calendar; therefore, every year was approximately one-fourth of a day short of the true fropionl yoar. This meant that every four years, the first of Thoth or new yearts day, would drop back one day in terns of the Julian oalendar, so that in 1460 years--or what is lmown as a Sothic oycle, -- the new year's day would recede back through the allthe of the foer months and return to its original starting point. From the information obtained

4sayce and Cowley, Aramaic Papyri Discovered at Assuan. Assuan is located on the Hile just below the first cataract, some 120 miles south of the ancient capital of Thebes. At the time of the 5 th century B. C. When these documents were written, the sightewas called Syene. At this place a colony of Jews had settled, evidently going down into Egypt either from Babylon or from Falestine during the period of the restoration. These documents cover a large portion of the 5 th century $B$. C., being dated from the 15 th year of yymes, Xerces, ( $471 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ) to the 14 th year of Darius II ( $210 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$. ). Most of these papyri are perfectly legible and relate to conveyances of land and buildings, marriage contracts, and various legal processes between members of this Jewish colony. They are drawn with great care, being signed and properly witnessed and many of them still sealed at the time of discovery. At least 8 of them are dated according to both the Hebrew and Egyptian calendars. Same of them are more specific than others giving special regnal years according to the calendar in which the date is given. Thus in pepyrus "K" which will be definitely described a bit later, the date is given as follows: "In the $2 l$ th of Shebat in the 13 th year, that is the 9th day of Athyr, in the 14th year of Darius." Others are less specific giving the regnal year in comection with the second date only taking it for granted that anyone conversant with the two calendars will understand definitely just which regnal year is meant. Thus papyrus "P" begins, "In the $13 / 14$ th day of Ab , that is, the 19 th day of Pachons, in the 25 th year of Arta-
from the Itolemaic Almagest, it is not difficult to definitely place the first day of Thoth in terms of the Julian calendar. From the time of Nabunasir, 747 B. C., this Egyptian calendar was used moro and more. It is difficult, however, to determine just when the Egyptians began their civil day. Ptolemy based all his astronomical calculations of time intervals upon noon, February 26,747 , as a starting point. But Ginzel says that Ptolemy did this for astronomical reckoning only, and he quotes several ancient authorities as disagreeing as to the exact time of the beginning of the Egyptian civil day. Some authorities want it to be reckoned from dawn to dawn, while others think the Egyptians began the day from midnight, and still others want it to begin at sunset. For the purpose of this discussion, the day is arbitrarily considered as beginning at sunset as it makes computations and diagrams a bit less confused.

In reckoning the years of a king's reign, Ptolemy digresses from the method used by the other nations, by giving the new king no "accession year "and giving the "death year" of the king to the ineaming occupant of the throne. Thus at whatever time in the year a king came to the throne, Ptolemy counted his reign as beginning with the first of Thoth or now year's day in that year, and called that year, "year one." This may be ohecked at various points in his Canon. For instance, the death of Alexander occured in May, 323 B. C., but the first year of Philip Aridaeus, his successor, begins, according to Ptolemy, with the first of Thoth in the 425 th year of the Canon which in terms of the Julian calendar, bugau November 12,324 B. C. Tiberius died in March A.D. 37, but Ptolemy makes the reign of Caligula begin with the first of Thoth in the 78 hth year of the Canon, or August 14, A. D. $36 .{ }^{5}$

[^4]For the purpose of reference, the following list of kings covers that part of the Canon which deals with the portion of the Persian Period under discussion here, and gives the Julian equivalent of the inclusive dates of each king's reign.

LIST OF KINGS COVERING THIS PERSIAN PERIOD
COMPUTED FROM PTOLBMY'S CALCULATIONS

King
Nabonidus
Cyrus Cambyses Darius I
Xerxes Artaxerxes Darius II Artaxerxes II

Last Year of Reign
Length of Reign in Serial Year of Canon

B. C. Julian Equiv. From, to and include.

| 17 | 209 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9 | 218 |
| 8 | 226 |
| 36 | 262 |
| 21 | 283 |
| 41 | 324 |
| 19 | 343 |
| 46 | 389 |


| 9 | Jan. $555-4$ Jan. |
| ---: | :--- | 538

With such a wandering calendar as the Egyptians possessed, it was not to Che possible to tie their calendar in -with agricultural seasons but when once understood, the calendar becomes very useful in checking with the Saros, tablet and the Olympiads, in determining the exact length of the reigns of the various kings. The Greek era began in 776 B. C., a few days after the sumer solstice. This system of chronology was used quite extensively by the ancient historians but is not tied in to any agricultural system. It is divided into olympiads of four years each with each individual year named after the Archon of Athos who was appointed for that year only. Thus it 2 iso becomes an excellent means of checking other data and for the purposes of this study has been translated in terms of the Julian calendar, as will be seen in the various diagrams made illustrating the papyri.
(Turn to page 5 in paper--"No one doubts that the Hebrew calendar. .
. ." etc.).
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[^0]:    * This perlod covers the Sothic Cycle from 1322 B.C. to 139 A.D. Date of 1 Thoth is placed opposite the Julian leap year, at which time it occurs a day earlier, and continues for four years. For example, February $Z 7$ is Egyptian new year day for years 749 to 746 B.C.

[^1]:    * The Passover dates, reckoned from full moon, deternine length of year, which, in turn, astablishes the length of each month.
    ** Oinzel, "Handbuck der mathamatiscien und technischen Chronologie, " Vol. If. Astrononical da tes are reauced to Jerusalen Civil TMe (J.c.T.) by adding to each G.M.T. date $14^{12}$ 20 ${ }^{14}$, or 59 or a day.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a Guinness, }}$ Vol. II, p. xlviii

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. K. Ginzel, Handbuch dor Mnthematischen und Technischon Chronolocte, Vol. 1, 163.
    $2_{\text {F. K. Ginzel, Op. Cit., Vol. I, } \mathrm{P}} .547 \mathrm{f}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Of course, all recognize that Ptoleny's Canon does not give the equivalent dates of these reigns in terms of the Julian calendar. Ptolemy was an astronomer and mathematican, and not a historian. However, from his Almagest, one is able to obtain the length of reign of the various kings in terms of the years that have elapsed since the beginning of the reign of Nabu-nasir. From a critical analysis of the Almagest, it is not difficult to show that the starting point of all of Ftolemy's computations was noon, February 26,747 B. C. Anyone wishing further information concerning the list of kings of his Canon together with the Julian equivalent of their reigns, may find them discussed in a number of places. See, for instance, Curt Wachsmuth, Studien der Alten Geschiotche, pp, 305,306iF, K. Ginzel, Handbuch der Mathomatischen und lechnisheon Chronologie, Vol. 2, p. 576 ff; H. Gratton Guinness, Creationcoritorod 12 nchrist , eavol. 1, pp. 297 ff .

